arXiv:1404.3373v3 [math.AG] 5 Jun 2014

# WILDER MCKAY CORRESPONDENCES

TAKEHIKO YASUDA

Abstract. A conjectural generalization of the McKay correspondence in terms of stringy invariants to arbitrary characteristic, including the wild case, was recently formulated by the author in the case where the given finite group linearly acts on an affine space. In cases of very special groups and representations, the conjecture has been verified and related stringy invariants have been explicitly computed. In this paper, we try to generalize the conjecture and computations to more complicated situations such as non-linear actions on possibly singular spaces and non-permutation representations of non-abelian groups.

## Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Motivic integration and stringy motifs 5
3. $G$-arcs 10
4. The untwisting technique revisited 13
5. The change of variables formula 17
6. The McKay correspondence for linear actions 20
7. The McKay correspondence for non-linear actions 23
8. Computing boundaries of untwisting varieties 25
9. A tame singular example 26
10. A wild non-linear example 28
11. Stable hyperplanes in permutation representations 31
12. Some $S_4$-masses in characteristic two 35
13. Concluding remarks 38
References 40

## 1. Introduction

The McKay correspondence in terms of stringy invariants was first studied by Batyrev and Dais [BD96], and Batyrev [Bat99]. Denef and Loeser [DL02] later took a more conceptual approach, where the McKay correspondence directly follows from the theory of motivic integration suitably generalized to a situation involving finite group actions.

These works were confined to characteristic zero. Except some works for the tame case (the finite group has order coprime to the characteristic), an attempt of generalization to arbitrary characteristics, including the wild (non-tame) case, was only recently

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E18, 14E16, 11S15.
This work was partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (22740020).

started in [Yasa, Yasb]. There it was formulated a conjectural generalization of results in characteristic zero. Subsequently it turned out in [WYa] that the conjecture is closely related to the number theory, in particular, the problem of counting local Galois representations. In these papers, however, only linear actions on affine spaces have been discussed. In characteristic zero, since every finite group action on a smooth variety is locally linearizable, many studies can be reduced to the linear case. This is no longer true in positive or mixed characteristic. The conjecture has been verified in very special cases, by computing stringy invariants explicitly. The aims of this paper are firstly to generalize the conjecture to non-linear actions on a (possibly singular) affine variety, and secondly to make it possible to compute stringy invariants in more complicated examples.

We now recall the conjecture from [Yasb]. Set the base scheme to be $D = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_D$ with $\mathcal{O}_D$ a complete discrete valuation ring and suppose that its residue field, denoted by $k$, is algebraically closed.

*Remark* 1.1. Working over a discrete valuation ring rather than a field is natural in our arguments. We can easily switch from a field to a discrete valuation ring by the base change by $\operatorname{Spec} k[[t]] \to \operatorname{Spec} k$.

We consider a linear action of a finite group $G$ on the affine $d$-space $V = \mathbb{A}^d_D$ over $D$ and the associated quotient scheme $X := V/G$.

**Conjecture 1.2** (The wild McKay correspondence conjecture [Yasb])**.** *Let $o \in X(k)$ denote the image of the origin and $M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_o$ the stringy motif of $X$ at $o$. Suppose that the quotient morphism $V \to X$ is étale in codimension one. Then*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_o = \int_{G\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{w}}\, d\tau.$$

*Here $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$ is the (conjectural) moduli space of $G$-covers of $D$,* $\mathbf{w}$ *is the* weight function *on $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$ associated to the $G$-representation $V$ and $\tau$ is the tautological motivic measure on $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$.*

In [Yasa], Conjecture 1.2 was verified, when $\mathcal{O}_D = k[[t]]$ with $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$ and $G$ is the cyclic group of order $p$. In [WYa], a variant conjecture was verified when the symmetric group $S_n$ acts on $\mathbb{A}^{2n}_D$ by two copies of the standard representation. In the same paper, a generalization to the case where $k$ is only perfect was formulated by modifying the function $\mathbf{w}$.

Roughly the conjecture was derived as follows: we first express $M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_o$ as a motivic integral over the space of *arcs* of $X$, that is, $D$-morphisms $D \to X$. We then transform the motivic integral to a motivic integral over the space of *$G$-arcs* of $V$, that is, $G$-equivariant $D$-morphisms $E \to V$ for $G$-covers $E \to D$. We can see that the contribution of each $G$-cover $E \to D$ to $M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_o$ is $\mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{w}(E)}$, and hence the conjecture. At this last point, we used the technique of *untwisting,* which enables us to reduce the study of $G$-arcs to the one of ordinary arcs. A prototype of untwisting was introduced by Denef and Loeser [DL02]. In [Yasb], the author developed it so that we can use it even in the wild case. In this paper, we will refine the technique slightly more. For each $G$-cover $E$ of $D$ with a connected component $F$, we can construct another affine space

$V^{|F|} \cong \mathbb{A}^d_D$ and a morphism $V^{|F|} \to X$ such that there is a correspondence between $G$-arcs of $V$ and ordinary arcs of $V^{|F|}$. Through the correspondence, we can represent the contribution of $E$ to $M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_0$ as a motivic integral over the ordinary arcs $D \to V^{|F|}$.

Our strategy of generalization to the non-linear case is quite simple: given an affine variety $\mathsf{v}$ with a $G$-action, we equivariantly embed $\mathsf{v}$ into an affine space $V$ with a linear $G$-action. For each $G$-cover $E \to D$ with a connected component $F$, we take the subvariety $\mathsf{v}^{|F|} \subset V^{|F|}$ corresponding to $\mathsf{v} \subset V$, which plays the same role as $V^{|F|}$ in the linear case.

We also need an idea from the minimal model program, that is, working with varieties endowed with divisors rather than varieties themselves. Encapsulating one more information, we will introduce the notion of *centered log structures* or *centered log $D$-varieties*, which are just triples $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ of a normal $D$-variety $X$, a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta$ and a closed subset $W$ of $X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} k$ with $K_{X/D} + \Delta$ $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. It is straightforward to generalize the stringy motif to centered log $D$-varieties. We write it as $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X})$. For instance, the stringy motif $M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_o$ mentioned above is the same as $M_{\mathrm{st}}((X, 0, \{o\}))$.

Returning to the equivariant immersion $\mathsf{v} \hookrightarrow V$, if $\mathsf{v}$ is given a centered log structure $\mathfrak{v} = (\mathsf{v}, \delta, \mathsf{w})$, then there exist unique centered log structures $\mathfrak{x}$ on $\mathsf{x} := \mathsf{v}/G$ and $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ on the normalization $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ of $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ so that all the morphisms connecting them are crepant (see Section 2.2 for details). If $H \subset G$ is the stabilizer of the component $F \subset E$, then the centralizer $C_G(H)$ of $H$ acts on $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ and on its arc space $J_\infty \mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$. We will define $M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu})$ in the same way as defining the ordinary stringy motif except that we will use the quotient space $(J_\infty \mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu})/C_G(H)$ rather than the arc space $J_\infty \mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ itself. We formulate the following conjecture which generalizes Conjecture 1.2.

**Conjecture 1.3** (Conjecture 7.3)**.** *We have*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{x}) = \int_{G\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}(D)} M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu})\, d\tau.$$

We will verify Conjecture 1.3 in two examples from the simplest ones, computing both sides of the equality independently. One example is a tame action on a singular variety, the other a wild non-linear action on a smooth variety.

Keeping arguments above in mind, let us return to the linear case. One difficulty in computing the right side of the equality in Conjecture 1.2 is computing weights $\mathbf{w}(E)$ explicitly, and another is computing the moduli space $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$. Taking an equivariant immersion $\mathsf{v} \hookrightarrow V$ is useful also in solving the former difficulty for some linear actions. With $E$, $F$ and $H$ as before, if $V = \mathbb{A}^d_D$ has a linear $G$-action and if $V_0 := V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} k$, then the weight of $E$ with respect to $V$ is, by definition,

$$\mathbf{w}_V(E) = \mathrm{codim}(V_0^H, V_0) - \mathbf{v}_V(E)$$

with another function $\mathbf{v}_V$ on $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$ and $V_0^H$ the $H$-fixed point locus in $V_0$. The first term, $\mathrm{codim}(V_0^H, V_0)$, is easy to compute, while the second generally not. However, if $G$ acts on $V$ by permutations of coordinates, then $\mathbf{v}_V(E)$ is represented in terms of the discriminant [WYa]: in this situation, we can associate a degree $d$ cover $C \to D$ to a $G$-cover $E \to D$ and

$$\mathbf{v}_V(E) = \frac{d_{C/D}}{2}$$

with $d_{C/D}$ the discriminant exponent of the cover $C \to D$. We generalize this equality to hyperplanes in $V$ defined by a $G$-invariant linear form. For simplicity, we consider the case where $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is defined by

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_d = 0$$

with $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ coordinates of $V$.

**Proposition 1.4** (See Corollary 11.4 for a slightly more general result)**.** *Let $C = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{l} C_j$ be the decomposition of $C$ into the connected components. Then*

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = \frac{d_{C/D}}{2} - \min\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \right\}$$

*with $[C_j : D]$ the degree of $C_j \to D$.*

Using this and assuming a motivic version of Krasner's formula [Kra66] for counting local field extensions, we explicitly compute

$$\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{-\mathbf{v}_{3\mathsf{v}}}\, d\tau \text{ and } \int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{w}_{3\mathsf{v}}}\, d\tau,$$

when $G = S_4$ acts on $V \cong \mathbb{A}^4_D$ by the standard representation, $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is given by $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4 = 0$ and $3\mathsf{v}$ is the direct sum of three copies of $\mathsf{v}$. We find that the two integrals are dual to each other. The same kind of duality was observed in [WYa] and will be discussed in [WYb] in more details. Obtained formulas for these integrals are thought of as (motivic) variants of mass formulas for local Galois representations [Bha07, Ked07, Woo08] with respect to weights coming from a non-permutation representation.

From Section 2 to 6, we review the theory in the linear case and finally formulate the McKay correspondence for linear actions. Most materials here are not new and found for instance in [Yasb], although arguments are refined and adjusted to our purpose. In Section 7, we formulate the McKay correspondence for non-linear actions. In Section 8, we study how to determine the centered log structure $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ under some assumptions. In Sections 9 and 10, we will compute non-linear examples. In Sections 11 and 12, we treat hyperplanes in permutation representations. We will end the paper with concluding remarks in Section 13.

1.1. **Acknowledgments.** I wish to thank Yusuke Nakamura and Shuji Saito for useful discussions directing my interests to non-linear actions, Johannes Nicaise and Julien Sebag for their kind answers to my questions on motivic integration over formal schemes, and Melanie Wood for stimulating discussions in our joint work.

1.2. **Convention and notation.** If $X$ is an affine scheme, $\mathcal{O}_X$ denotes its coordinate ring. By the same symbol $\mathcal{O}_X$, we sometimes denote also the structure sheaf on a scheme $X$. This abuse of notation would not cause any problem. When a group $G$ acts on $X$ from left, then we suppose that $G$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_X$ from right: for $g \in G$, if $\phi_g : X \to X$ is the $g$-action on $X$, then $g$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_X$ by the pull-back of functions by $\phi_g$. Throughout the paper, we fix an affine scheme $D$ with $\mathcal{O}_D$ a complete discrete valuation field. We denote the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_D$ by $k$ and suppose that $k$ is algebraically closed. For an integral scheme $X$, we denote by $K(X)$ its function field. If $X$ is affine, then $K(X)$ is

the fraction (quotient) field of the ring $\mathcal{O}_X$. Again, by abuse of notation, $K(X)$ also denotes the constant sheaf on $X$ associated to the function field. For a $D$-scheme $X$, we denote by $X_0$ the special fiber with the reduced structure: $X_0 := (X \times_D \operatorname{Spec} k)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

## 2. Motivic integration and stringy motifs

In this section, we review the theories of motivic integration over ordinary (untwisted) arcs and stringy invariants, mainly developed in [Kon95, DL99, Bat98, Bat99, DL02, Seb04].

2.1. **Centered log varieties.** We call an integral $D$-scheme $X$ a *$D$-variety* if $X$ is flat, separated and of finite type over $D$ and $X$ is smooth over $D$ at the generic point of $X$. For a $D$-variety $X$, we denote the smooth locus of $X$ by $X_{\mathrm{sm}}$ and the regular locus by $X_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Let $X$ be a normal $D$-variety. We can define the *canonical sheaf* $\omega_X = \omega_{X/D}$ of $X$ over $D$ as in [Kol13, page 8]. On $X_{\mathrm{sm}}$, the canonical sheaf is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D}$ with $d$ the relative dimension of $X$ over $D$. Therefore we can think of $\omega_X$ as a subsheaf of $(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D}) \otimes K(X)$. We define the *canonical divisor* of $X$, denoted by $K_X = K_{X/D}$, to be the linear equivalence class of Weil divisors corresponding to $\omega_X$.

A *log $D$-variety* is a pair $(X, \Delta)$ of a normal $D$-variety $X$ and a Weil $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta$ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. We call $\Delta$ the *boundary* of the log variety. The *canonical divisor* of a log $D$-variety $(X, \Delta)$ is $K_{(X,\Delta)} := K_X + \Delta$.

A *centered log $D$-variety* is a triple $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ such that $(X, \Delta)$ is a log $D$-variety and $W$ is a closed subset of $X_0$, where $X_0$ denotes the special fiber of the structure morphism $X \to D$ with the reduced structure. We call $W$ the *center* of $\mathfrak{X}$. We also say that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a *centered log structure* on $X$. For a centered log variety $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$, we define a *canonical divisor* of $\mathfrak{X}$ as the one of $(X, \Delta)$:

$$K_{\mathfrak{X}} := K_{(X,\Delta)} = K_X + \Delta.$$

sometimes, we identify a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein ($K_X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier) $D$-variety $X$ with the log $D$-variety $(X, 0)$, and identify a log $D$-variety $(X, \Delta)$ with the centered log $D$-variety $(X, \Delta, X_0)$:

$$\left\{\begin{smallmatrix}\text{normal } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Gorenstein}\\ D\text{-varieties}\end{smallmatrix}\right\} \hookrightarrow \{\text{log } D\text{-varieties}\} \hookrightarrow \{\text{centered log } D\text{-varieties}\} \tag{2.1}$$

$$X \longmapsto (X, 0)$$

$$(X, \Delta) \longmapsto (X, \Delta, X_0)$$

2.2. **Crepant morphisms.** For centered log $D$-varieties $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ and $\mathfrak{X}' = (X', \Delta', W')$, a *morphism* $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$ is just a morphism $f : X \to X'$ of the underlying varieties with $f(W) \subset W'$. We say that a morphism $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$ is *proper* or *birational* if it

is so as the morphism $f : X \to X'$ of the underlying varieties. We say that a morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$ is *crepant* if

$$f^{-1}(W') = W \text{ and } K_{\mathfrak{X}} = f^* K_{\mathfrak{X}'}.$$

The right equality should be understood that for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $r(K_X + \Delta)$ and $r(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ are Cartier, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\omega_{X'}^{[r]}(r\Delta') \cong f^* \omega_X^{[r]}(r\Delta).$$

Here $\omega_X^{[r]}(r\Delta)$ is the invertible sheaf which is identical to $\omega_X^{\otimes r}(r\Delta)$ on $X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. We adopt this convention throughout the paper.

Given a generically étale morphism $f : X \to X'$ of normal $D$-varieties, a centered log structure $\mathfrak{X}'$ on $X'$ induces a unique centered log structure $\mathfrak{X}$ on $X$ such that the morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$ is crepant. Conversely, if $f : X \to X'$ is additionally proper, then for each centered log structure $\mathfrak{X}$ on $X$, there exists at most one centered log structure on $\mathfrak{X}'$ such that $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$ is crepant.

*Remark* 2.1. For our purpose, we may slightly weaken the assumptions in the definition of crepant morphisms. For instance, concerning the equality $f^{-1}(W') = W$, we only need this equality outside $X_{\mathrm{sm}} \setminus X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. This is because the locus $X_{\mathrm{sm}} \setminus X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ does not contribute to stringy motifs at all, which will be defined below. However, for simplicity, we will cling to our definition as above.

2.3. **Motivic integration.** Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ be a centered log $D$-variety. An *arc* of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a $D$-morphism $D \to X$ sending the closed point of $D$ into $W$. The *arc space* of $\mathfrak{X}$, denoted $J_\infty \mathfrak{X}$, is a $k$-scheme parameterizing the arcs of $\mathfrak{X}$. We put $D_n := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_D / \mathfrak{m}_D^{n+1}$ with $\mathfrak{m}_D$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_D$. An *$n$-jet* of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a $D$-morphism $D_n \to X$ sending the unique point of $D_n$ into $W$. For each $n$, there exists a $k$-scheme $J_n \mathfrak{X}$ parametrizing $n$-jets of $\mathfrak{X}$. For $n \geq m$, we have natural morphisms $J_n \mathfrak{X} \to J_m \mathfrak{X}$ and the arc space $J_\infty \mathfrak{X}$ is identified with the projective limit of $J_n \mathfrak{X}$, $n \geq 0$ with respect to these maps. We have the induce maps

$$\pi_n : J_\infty \mathfrak{X} \to J_n \mathfrak{X}.$$

For $n < \infty$, $J_n \mathfrak{X}$ are of finite type over $k$. For a morphism $f : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ and each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$, there exists a natural map

$$f_n : J_n \mathfrak{Y} \to J_n \mathfrak{X}.$$

The arc space $J_\infty \mathfrak{X}$ has the so-called *motivic measure*, denoted by $\mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}}$. The measure takes values in some (semi-)ring, say $\mathcal{R}$, which is often a suitable modification of the Grothendieck (semi-)ring of $k$-varieties. In this paper, we will fix $\mathcal{R}$ satisfying the following properties: denoting by $[T]$ the class of a $k$-variety $T$ in $\mathcal{R}$, we have

- for a bijective morphism $S \to T$, we have $[S] = [T]$ in $\mathcal{R}$,
- putting $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}_k^1]$, we have all fractional powers $\mathbb{L}^a$, $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{R}$,
- an infinite series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [T_i] \mathbb{L}^{a_i}$ with $\lim_{i \to \infty} \dim T_i + a_i = -\infty$ converges,
- for a morphism $f : S \to T$ and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, if every fiber of $f$ admits a homeomorphism from or to the quotient $\mathbb{A}_k^n / G$ for some linear action of a finite group $G$ on $\mathbb{A}_k^n$, then $[S] = [T] \mathbb{L}^n$.

One possible choice is the field of Puiseux series in $t^{-1}$,

$$\mathcal{R} := \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}((t^{-1/r})),$$

where we put $[T]$ to be the Poincaré polynomial as in [Nic11].

A subset $A \subset J_\infty \mathfrak{X}$ is called *stable* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\pi_n(A) \subset J_n\mathfrak{X}$ is a constructible subset and $A = \pi_n^{-1}\pi_n(A)$ and for every $m \geq n$, every fiber of the map $\pi_{n+1}(A) \to \pi_n(A)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^n_k$. The measure of a stable subset $A$ is given by

$$\mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}}(A) := [\pi_n(A)]\mathbb{L}^{-nd} \quad (n \gg 0).$$

More generally, we can define the measure for *measurable subsets*, which are roughly the limits of stable subsets.

Let $\Phi : C \to \mathcal{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a measurable function on a subset $C \subset J_\infty \mathfrak{X}$, that is, the image of $\Phi$ is countable, all fibers $\Phi^{-1}(a)$ are measurable and $\mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}}(\Phi^{-1}(\infty)) = 0$. We define

$$\int_C \Phi \, \mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}} := \sum_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}}(\Phi^{-1}(a)) \cdot a \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

2.4. **Stringy invariants.** We still suppose that $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ is a centered log $D$-variety.

**Definition 2.2.** To a coherent ideal sheaf $I \neq 0$ on $X$ defining a closed subscheme $Z \subsetneq X$, we associate the *order function*,

$$\operatorname{ord} I = \operatorname{ord} Z : J_\infty \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\},$$

as follows: for an arc $\gamma : D \to X$, the pull-back $\gamma^{-1}I$ of $I$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_D$ and of the form $\mathfrak{m}_D^l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$, where we put $(0) := \mathfrak{m}_D^\infty$ by convention. For a fractional ideal $I$ (that is, a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodule of $K(X)$), if we write $I = I_+ \cdot I_-^{-1}$ for ideal sheaves $I_+$ and $I_-$ with $I_-$ locally principal, then we put

$$\operatorname{ord} I := \operatorname{ord} I_+ - \operatorname{ord} I_-.$$

Here we put $\operatorname{ord} I = \infty$ if either $\operatorname{ord} I_+ = \infty$ or $\operatorname{ord} I_- = \infty$. Similarly, for a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Z_i$ of closed subschemes $Z_i \subsetneq X$, we define

$$\operatorname{ord} Z := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \operatorname{ord} Z_i,$$

taking values in $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$.

*Remark* 2.3. For a closed subscheme $Z \subsetneq X$, we expect that $(\operatorname{ord} Z)^{-1}(\infty)$ has measure zero. The author does not know if this has been proved, but this follows from the change of variables formula, if there exists a resolution of singularities $f : \tilde{X} \to X$ so that $\tilde{X}$ is regular and $\tilde{X}_0 \cup f^{-1}(Z)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. If the expectation is actually true, then order functions for fractional ideals and $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of closed subschemes are well-defined modulo measure zero subsets.

Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $rK_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is Cartier. Since the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(rK_{\mathfrak{X}}) = \omega_X^{[r]}(r\Delta)$ is invertible and thought of as a subsheaf of the constant sheaf $(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D})^{\otimes r} \otimes K(X)$, we can define a fractional ideal sheaf $I_{\mathfrak{X}}^r$ by the equality of subsheaves of $(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D})^{\otimes r} \otimes K(X)$,

$$\left(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D}\right)^{\otimes r} /\mathrm{tors} = I_{\mathfrak{X}}^r \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(rK_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

We then put a function $\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on $J_\infty \mathfrak{X}$ by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}} := \frac{1}{r} \mathrm{ord}\, I_{\mathfrak{X}}^r.$$

Since $(I_{\mathfrak{X}}^r)^n = I_{\mathfrak{X}}^{r\cdot n}$, the function $\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is independent of the choice of $r$. If $X$ is smooth, then we simply have $\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathrm{ord}\, \Delta$.

**Definition 2.4.** The *stringy motif* of $\mathfrak{X}$ is defined to be

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) := \int_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}}}\, d\mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}}.$$

We also write $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}(X, \Delta)_W$ and sometimes omit $\Delta$ if $\Delta = 0$, and $W$ if $W = X_0$. When the integral above converges, we call $\mathfrak{X}$ *stringily log terminal.* When diverges, we put $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) := \infty$.

**Conjecture 2.5.** *If a morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}'$ of centered log $D$-varieties is proper, birational and crepant, then*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}').$$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Conjecture 2.5 holds if there exists a proper birational morphism $Y \to X$ of $D$-varieties such that $Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} K(D)$ is smooth over $K(D)$. In particular, Conjecture 2.5 holds if $K(D)$ has characteristic zero.*

*Proof.* Let $X_\eta$ be the generic fiber of $X \to D$. From the Hironaka theorem, there exists a coherent ideal sheaf $I_\eta \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_\eta}$ such that the blowup of $X_\eta$ along $I_\eta$ is smooth over $K(D)$. Let $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be an coherent ideal sheaf such that $I|_{X_\eta} = I_\eta$. The blowup of $X$ along $I$ has a smooth generic fiber. Hence the second assertion of the lemma follows from the first one.

To prove the first one, we can apply the version of the change of variables formula proved by Sebag [Seb04, Th. 8.0.5] (see also [NS11]). If $\mathfrak{Y}$ is the centered log structure on $Y$ such that the induced morphism $f : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{X}$ is crepant, then the change of variables formula shows that

$$\int_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}}}\, d\mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{X}} = \int_{J_\infty \mathfrak{Y}} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}} \circ f_\infty - \mathrm{ord}\, \mathrm{jac}_f}\, d\mu_{J_\infty \mathfrak{Y}}.$$

Here $\operatorname{ord}\operatorname{jac}_f$ is the function of Jacobian orders as defined in [Seb04, page 29]. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $rK_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $rK_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ are Cartier, we have

$$\left(f^*\left(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D}\right)^{\otimes r}\right)/\mathrm{tors} = f^{-1}I_{\mathfrak{X}}^r \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(rK_{\mathfrak{Y}}) \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{Y/D}\right)^{\otimes r}/\mathrm{tors} = I_{\mathfrak{Y}}^r \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(rK_{\mathfrak{Y}}).$$

This shows that

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{X}} \circ f_\infty - \operatorname{ord}\operatorname{jac}_f = \mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{Y}}.$$

We obtain $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{Y})$, and similarly $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}') = M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{Y})$. We have proved the proposition. □

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ be a centered log $D$-variety and write*

$$\Delta = \sum_{h=1}^{l} a_h A_h + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i B_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j C_j \quad (a_h, b_i \in \mathbb{Q},\ c_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\})$$

*such that $A_h$ are the irreducible components of the closure of $X_0 \cap X_{\mathrm{sm}}$, $B_i$ are the irreducible components of $X_0 \setminus X_{\mathrm{sm}}$ and $C_j$ are prime divisors not contained in $X_0$. Let*

$$A_h^\circ := A_h \cap X_{\mathrm{sm}} = A_h \setminus \left(\overline{X_0 \setminus A_h}\right) \text{ and}$$

$$C_J^\circ := \bigcap_{j\in J} C_j \setminus \bigcup_{j\in\{1,..,n\}\setminus J} C_j,$$

*with $\overline{X_0 \setminus A_h}$ the closure of $X_0 \setminus A_h$. We suppose that $X$ is regular and that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j$ is simple normal crossing, that is, for any $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, the scheme-theoretic intersection $\bigcap_{j\in J} C_j$ is smooth over $D$. Then $\mathfrak{X}$ is stringily log terminal if and only if $c_j < 1$ for every $j$ with $C_j \cap W \cap X_{\mathrm{sm}} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if it is the case,*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \sum_{h=1}^{l} \mathbb{L}^{a_h} \sum_{J\subset\{1,\dots,n\}} [W \cap A_h^\circ \cap C_J^\circ] \prod_{j\in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}-1}{\mathbb{L}^{1-c_j}-1}.$$

*Proof.* We first note that the locus $X_0 \setminus X_{\mathrm{sm}}$ does not have any arc, hence not contribute to $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X})$. Since

$$X_0 \cap X_{\mathrm{sm}} = \bigsqcup_{h=1}^{l} A_h^\circ,$$

we can decompose $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X})$ into the sum of components corresponding to $A_h^\circ$, $h = 1, .., l$. The divisor $a_h A_h$ contributes to the component corresponding to $A_h^\circ$ by the multiplication with $\mathbb{L}^{a_h}$. From all these arguments, the proposition has been reduced to the formula

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \sum_{J\subset\{1,\dots,n\}} [W \cap C_J^\circ] \prod_{j\in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}-1}{\mathbb{L}^{1-c_j}-1}$$

in the case where $X$ is smooth and $\Delta = \sum_j c_j C_j$. This is the standard explicit formula (see for instance [Bat98]). □

2.5. **Group actions.**

**Definition 2.8.** A *centered log $G$-$D$-variety* is a centered log $D$-variety $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ endowed with a faithful $G$-action on $X$ such that $\Delta$ and $W$ are stable under the $G$-action. Given a variety $X$ with a faithful $G$-action, we say that a centered log structure $\mathfrak{X}$ on $X$ is *$G$-equivariant* if it is a centered log $G$-$D$-variety.

For a centered log $G$-$D$-variety $\mathfrak{X}$, the arc space $J_\infty\mathfrak{X}$ has a natural $G$-action. We define a motivic measure on $(J_\infty\mathfrak{X})/G$, denoted by $\mu_{(J_\infty\mathfrak{X})/G}$, in the same way as defining the motivic measure on $J_\infty\mathfrak{X}$ except that in the definition of stable subsets, say $A$, fibers of $\pi_{n+1}(A) \to \pi_n(A)$ are only assumed to be homeomorphic the quotient $\mathbb{A}^d_k/H$ for some linear action of a finite group $H$ on $\mathbb{A}^d_k$.

The function $\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{X}$ on $J_\infty\mathfrak{X}$ is $G$-invariant and gives a function on $(J_\infty\mathfrak{X})/G$, which we again denote by $\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{X}$. We define

$$M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathfrak{X}) := \int_{(J_\infty\mathfrak{X})/G} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{X}}\, d\mu_{(J_\infty\mathfrak{X})/G}.$$

The reader should not confuse $M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathfrak{X})$ with the orbifold stringy motif $M^G_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X})$, defined later.

Let us define a *$G$-prime divisor* as a divisor of the form $\sum_{i=1}^l D_i$, where $D_i$ are prime divisors permuted transversally by the $G$-actions,

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \Delta, W)$ be a centered log $G$-$D$-variety and write*

$$\Delta = \sum_{h=1}^{l} a_h A_h + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i B_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j C_j \quad (a_h, b_i \in \mathbb{Q},\, c_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\})$$

*such that $A_h$ are the distinct $G$-prime divisors such that $\bigcup A_h$ is equal to the closure of $X_0 \cap X_{\mathrm{sm}}$, $B_i$ are the distinct $G$-prime divisors with $\bigcup_i B_i = X_0 \setminus X_{\mathrm{sm}}$ and $C_j$ are $G$-prime divisors not contained in $X_0$. We suppose*

- *$X$ is regular,*
- *for any $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, the scheme-theoretic intersection $\bigcap_{j\in J} C_j$ is smooth over $D$, and*
- *for every $j$ with $C_j \cap W \cap X_{\mathrm{sm}} \neq \emptyset$, $c_j < 1$.*

*With the same notation as in Proposition 2.7, we have*

$$M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathfrak{X}) = \sum_{h=1}^{l} \mathbb{L}^{a_h} \sum_{J\subset\{1,\dots,n\}} \left[\frac{W \cap A_h^\circ \cap C_J^\circ}{G}\right] \prod_{j\in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}-1}{\mathbb{L}^{1-c_j}-1}.$$

## 3. $G$-arcs

In the last subsection, we considered motivic integration over varieties endowed with finite group actions. However we considered only ordinary (untwisted) arcs, which are not general enough to apply to the McKay correspondence. Suitably generalized arcs were introduced by Denef and Loeser [DL02] in characteristic zero. The author

[Yasb] further generalized them to arbitrary characteristics. We may use generalized arcs of orbifolds or Deligne-Mumford stacks as in [LP04, Yas04, Yas06, Yasb] so that we can treat general orbifolds, having group actions only locally. We do not pursue generalization in this direction, however.

From now on, we fix a finite group $G$.

**Definition 3.1.** By a *$G$-cover* of $D$, we mean a $D$-scheme $E$ endowed with a left $G$-action such that $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} K(D)$ is an étale $G$-torsor over $\operatorname{Spec} K(D)$ and $E$ is the normalization of $D$ in $\mathcal{O}_{E\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} K(D)}$. We denote by $G\text{-Cov}(D)$ the set of $G$-covers of $D$ up to isomorphism.

*Remark* 3.2. In the tame case, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $G\text{-Cov}(D)$ and the conjugacy classes in $G$. In the wild case, however, $G\text{-Cov}(D)$ is expected to be an infinite dimensional space having a countable stratification with finite-dimensional strata.

We now fix the following notation: $E$ is a $G$-cover of $D$, $F$ is a connected component of $E$ with a stabilizer $H$ so that $F$ is an $H$-cover of $D$.




**Lemma 3.3.** *Let* $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ *be the automorphism group of $E$ as a $G$-cover of $D$, that is, it consists of $G$-equivariant $D$-automorphisms of $E$. We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{Aut}(E) \cong C_G(H)^{\mathrm{op}},$$

*where the right side is the opposite group of the centralizer of $H$ in $G$.*

*Proof.* If $E$ is the trivial $G$-cover $D \times G$ of $D$, then its automorphisms are nothing but the right $G$-action on $G$. Therefore $\operatorname{Aut}(E) = G^{\mathrm{op}}$.

For the general case, let $E_F$ be the normalization of the fiber product $E \times_D F$. This is a trivial $G$-cover of $F$ and we have a natural injection

$$\operatorname{Aut}(E) \to \operatorname{Aut}(E_F) = G^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Its image is the automorphisms of $E_F$ compatible with the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F/D) = H$. This shows the lemma. □

Let $V$ be a $D$-variety endowed with a faithful left $G$-action.

**Definition 3.4.** We define an *$E$-twisted $G$-arc* of $V$ as a $G$-equivariant $D$-morphism $E \to V$ and a *$G$-arc* of $V$ as an $E$-twisted $G$-arc for some $E$. Two $G$-arcs $E \to V$ and $E' \to V$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a $G$-equivariant $D$-isomorphism $E \to E'$ compatible with the morphisms to $V$. We denote by $J_\infty^{G,E}V$ the set of isomorphism classes of $E$-twisted $G$-arcs of $V$ and by $J_\infty^G V$ the set of isomorphism classes of $G$-arcs of $V$.

Obviously,

$$J_\infty^G V = \bigsqcup_{E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)} J_\infty^{G,E} V.$$

Let $\mathrm{Hom}_D^G(E,V)$ be the space of $G$-equivariant $D$-morphisms $E \to V$. We define a left action of $C_G(H) = \mathrm{Aut}(E)^{\mathrm{op}}$ on $\mathrm{Hom}_D^G(E,V)$ as follows: for $a \in C_G(H) = \mathrm{Aut}(E)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_D^G(E,V)$,

$$a \cdot (E \xleftarrow{f} V) := (V \xleftarrow{f} E \xleftarrow{a} E) = (V \xleftarrow{a} V \xleftarrow{f} E). \tag{3.1}$$

By definition, we have

$$J_\infty^{G,E} V = \mathrm{Hom}_D^G(E,V)/C_G(H). \tag{3.2}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we put $F_n := F/\mathfrak{m}_F^{n \cdot h+1}$ with $h := \sharp H$ and define $E_n := \bigcup_{g \in G} g(F_n)$. In particular, $F_0 \cong \mathrm{Spec}\, k$ and $E_0$ consists of the closed points of $E$ with the reduced scheme structure.

**Definition 3.5.** We define an *$E$-twisted $G$-$n$-jet* of $V$ as a $G$-equivariant $D$-morphism $E_n \to V$ and put

$$J_n^{G,E} V := \mathrm{Hom}_D^G(E_n, V)/C_G(H) \text{ and}$$
$$J_n^G V = \bigsqcup_{E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)} J_n^{G,E} V.$$

Here the $C_G(H)$-action on $\mathrm{Hom}_D^G(E_n,V)$ is similarly defined as (3.1).

Note that if $E \ncong E'$, then $E$-twisted and $E'$-twisted $G$-$n$-jets never give the same point of $J_n^G V$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$, we have natural maps

$$J_\infty^{G,E} V \to J_n^{G,E} V \text{ and } J_\infty^G V \to J_n^G V,$$

both of which we will denote by $\pi_n$. We have obtained the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
J_\infty^{G,E} V & \xrightarrow{\pi_{n+1}} & J_{n+1}^{G,E} V & \longrightarrow & J_n^{G,E} V & \longrightarrow & \{E\} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
J_\infty^G V & \xrightarrow[\pi_{n+1}]{} & J_{n+1}^G V & \longrightarrow & J_n^G V & \longrightarrow & G\text{-Cov}(D)
\end{array}$$

*Remark* 3.6. In [Yasb], the author conjectured that the sets $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$, $J_n^{G,E} V$, $J_n^G V$ $(0 \leq n < \infty)$ are realized as $k$-schemes admitting stratifications with at most countably many finite-dimensional strata, which will be necessary below to define the motivic measure.

Let $X$ be the quotient scheme $V/G$, writing the quotient morphism as

$$p : V \to X.$$

Given a $G$-arc $E \to V$, we get an arc $D \to X$ by taking the $G$-quotients of the source and the target. This gives a natural map

$$p_\infty : J_\infty^G V \to J_\infty X.$$

Let $T \subset V$ be the ramification locus of $\pi$ say with the reduced scheme structure and $\bar{T} \subset X$ its image. The map $p_\infty$ restricts to the bijection

$$J_\infty^G V \setminus J_\infty^G T \to J_\infty X \setminus J_\infty \bar{T}.$$

For $n < \infty$, we have a natural map

$$p_n : \pi_n(J_\infty^G V) \to J_n X,$$

where $\pi_n$ denotes the natural map $J_\infty^G V \to J_n^G V$.

For a centered log $G$-$D$-variety $\mathfrak{V}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$, we define $J_n^G \mathfrak{V}$ and $J_n^{G,E} \mathfrak{V}$ as the subsets of $J_n^G V$ and $J_n^{G,E} V$ consisting of the morphisms $E_n \to V$ sending the closed points of $E_n$ into the center of $\mathfrak{V}$.

## 4. The untwisting technique revisited

In this section, we revisit the technique of *untwisting,* which was first used by Denef and Loeser [DL02] in characteristic zero and generalized to arbitrary characteristics by the author [Yasb]. Our constructions below are slightly different and refined from the ones in [Yasb].

Let us now turn to the case where $V$ is an affine space over $D$ and the given $G$-action is linear. We keep to fix a $G$-cover $E$ of $D$ and a connected component $F$ of $E$ with stabilizer $H$.

For a free $\mathcal{O}_D$-module $M$ of rank $d$, let $\mathcal{O}_V := S^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_D} M$ be its symmetric algebra and put

$$V = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_V = \mathbb{A}^d_D.$$

We suppose that the module $M$ and hence the $\mathcal{O}_D$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_V$ have faithful *right* $G$-actions. Then $V$ has the induced *left* $G$-action. The set $\operatorname{Hom}_D^G(E, V)$ can be identified with the $\mathcal{O}_D$-module

$$\Xi_F := \operatorname{Hom}^H_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_F) = \operatorname{Hom}^G_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_E).$$

We call $\Xi_F$ the *tuning module*.

*Remark* 4.1. If we fix a basis of $M$, then the module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is identified with $\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus d}$. This module $\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus d}$ has two $G$-actions: one is the diagonal $G$-action induced from the given $G$-action on $\mathcal{O}_E$ and the other is the one induced from the $G$-action on $M$. For an element of $\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus d}$ corresponding to a $G$-equivariant map $M \to \mathcal{O}_E$, two actions must coincide. We thus can identify $\Xi_F$ with the locus in $\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus d}$ where the two actions coincide. This was how the module $\Xi_F$ was presented in previous papers [Yasb, WYa].

**Lemma 4.2** ([Yasb, WYa])**.** *The module $\Xi_F$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_D$-module of rank $d$. Moreover it is a saturated $\mathcal{O}_D$-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_F)$ and of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$: for $a \in \mathcal{O}_D$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$, if $af \in \Xi_F$, then $f \in \Xi_F$.*

From (3.2),

$$J_\infty^{G,E} V = \Xi_F / C_G(H)$$

Note that the $C_G(H)$-action on $\Xi_F$ is $\mathcal{O}_D$-linear.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The maps*

$$\pi_{n+1}(J_\infty^G V) \to \pi_n(J_\infty^G V)$$

*have fibers homeomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbb{A}_k^d$ by a linear action of a finite group.*

*Proof.* If we denote the map $\Xi_F \to \mathrm{Hom}_D^H(F_n, V)$ again by $\pi_n$, the image $\pi_n(\Xi_F)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_D/\mathfrak{m}_D^{n+1})^{\oplus d}$. This shows that the fibers of

$$\pi_{n+1}(\Xi_F) \to \pi_n(\Xi_F)$$

are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_k^d$, proving the lemma. □

**Definition 4.4.** We define a motivic measure $\mu_{J_\infty^G V}$ on $J_\infty^G V$ in the same way as the ones on $J_\infty V$ and $(J_\infty V)/G$. If $\mathfrak{V}$ is a $G$-equivariant centered log structure on $V$, we define the measure $\mu_{J_\infty^G \mathfrak{V}}$ on $J_\infty^G \mathfrak{V}$ as the restriction of $\mu_{J_\infty^G V}$.

*Remark* 4.5. For the definition above making sense, we need the conjecture that moduli spaces $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$ and $J_n^G V$ exist and have some finiteness (see Remark 3.6).

**Definition 4.6.** We define modules,

$$M^{|F|} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_D) \text{ and}$$
$$M^{\langle F\rangle} := M^{|F|} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \mathcal{O}_F = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_F),$$

which are free modules of rank $d$ over $\mathcal{O}_D$ and $\mathcal{O}_F$ respectively. We define an $\mathcal{O}_D$-linear map

$$\begin{aligned} u^* = u_F^* : M &\to M^{\langle F\rangle} \\ m &\mapsto (\Xi \ni f \mapsto f(m) \in \mathcal{O}_F), \end{aligned}$$

identifying $\Xi_F$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}^H(M, \mathcal{O}_F)$ rather than $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}^G(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$.

**Lemma 4.7.** *We suppose that $H$ and $C_G(H)$ acts on $M$ by restricting the given $G$-action.*

1. *With respect to the $H$-action on $M^{\langle F\rangle}$ induced from the $H$-action on $\mathcal{O}_F$, the map $u^*$ is $H$-equivariant.*
2. *With respect to the $C_G(H)$-action on $M^{\langle F\rangle}$ induced from the (left) $C_G(H)$-action on $\Xi_F$, the map $u^*$ is $C_G(H)$-equivariant.*

*Proof.* (1) For $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(mh) &= (f \mapsto f(mh)) \\ &= (f \mapsto f(m)h) \\ &= (f \mapsto f(m))h, \end{aligned}$$

since $f \in \Xi$ are $H$-equivariant. This shows the assertion.

(2) Let $M^{\langle E\rangle} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_E)$ and consider the natural map

$$u_E^* : M \to M^{\langle E\rangle},\ m \mapsto (f \mapsto f(m)),$$

now identifying $\Xi_F$ with $\mathrm{Hom}^G_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_E)$. This map is $C_G(H)$-equivariant. Indeed, for $g \in C_G(H)$ and $m \in M$, from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_E^*(mg) &= (f \mapsto f(mg)) \\ &= (f \mapsto f(m)g) \\ &= (f \mapsto (gf)(m)). \end{aligned}$$

The map $u_E^*$ factors as

$$M \xrightarrow{u_F^*} M^{\langle F \rangle} \hookrightarrow M^{\langle E \rangle}.$$

Since the inclusion $M^{\langle F \rangle} \hookrightarrow M^{\langle E \rangle}$ is also $C_G(H)$-equivariant, so does $u_F^*$.

□

Note that the $H$- and $C_G(H)$- actions above on $M^{\langle F \rangle}$ commute.

**Definition 4.8.** We define the *untwisting variety* (resp. *pre-untwisting) variety* of $V$ with respect to $F$ as

$$V^{|F|} := \mathrm{Spec}\, S^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_D} M^{|F|} = \mathbb{A}^d_D \text{ (resp. } V^{\langle F \rangle} := \mathrm{Spec}\, S^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_F} M^{\langle F \rangle} = \mathbb{A}^d_F).$$

We denote the projection $V^{\langle F \rangle} \to V^{|F|}$ by $r = r_F$, $r$ standing for the restriction of scalars (see diagram (4.1) below). The map $u^*$ defines a $D$-morphism

$$u : V^{\langle F \rangle} \to V,$$

which is both $H$- and $C_G(H)$-equivariant. We call the pair of $r$ and $u$ the *untwisting correspondence* of $V$ with respect to $F$.

Let $X := V/G$ and identify $\mathcal{O}_X$ with $(\mathcal{O}_V)^G$. Since the $H$-invariant subring of $\mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F \rangle}}$ is

$$(\mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F \rangle}})^H = \mathcal{O}_{V^{|F|}},$$

we have

$$u^*(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{O}_{V^{|F|}}.$$

We denote the induced morphism $V^{|F|} \to X$ by $p^{|F|}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

(4.1)




**Lemma 4.9.** (1) *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}^H_F(F, V^{\langle F \rangle}) &\to \Xi_F = \mathrm{Hom}^H_D(F, V) \\ \gamma &\mapsto u \circ \gamma \end{aligned}$$

*is bijective.*

(2) *The map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_F^H(F, V^{\langle F\rangle}) \to J_\infty V^{|F|} = \mathrm{Hom}_D(D, V^{|F|})$$

*sending a morphism* $F \to V^{\langle F\rangle}$ *to the induced one of quotients,*

$$D = F/H \to V^{|F|} = V^{\langle F\rangle}/H,$$

*is bijective.*

*Proof.* (1) With the identification,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_F^H(F, V^{\langle F\rangle}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_F}^H(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_F), \mathcal{O}_F).$$

the map of the assertion is identified with the map

$$\begin{aligned} a : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_F}^H(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_F), \mathcal{O}_F) &\to \Xi_F \\ \phi &\mapsto (m \mapsto \phi((f \mapsto f(m)))), \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in M$ and $f \in \Xi_F$. Let us consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} b : \Xi_F &\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_F}^H(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_F), \mathcal{O}_F) \\ f &\mapsto (z \mapsto z(f)), \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\Xi_F, \mathcal{O}_F)$. The composition $a \circ b$ sends $f \in \Xi_F$ to

$$\begin{aligned} &(m \mapsto (z \mapsto z(f))\,(h \mapsto h(m))) \\ &= (m \mapsto f(m)) \\ &= f, \end{aligned}$$

and hence is the identity map. It follows that $a$ is surjective. Now the assertion follows from the fact that the source and target of $a$ are free $\mathcal{O}_D$-modules of the same rank and $a$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_D$-modules.

(2) We can give the converse by the base change associated to $F \to D$.

□

In summary, we have a one-to-one correspondence between $\Xi_F$ and $J_\infty V^{|F|}$, induced from the untwisting correspondence. From Lemma 4.7, the correspondence is compatible with the $C_G(H)$-actions on both sides. Therefore it descends to a one-to-one correspondence between $J_\infty^{G,E}V$ and $(J_\infty V^{|F|})/C_G(H)$. We obtain the following commutative diagram:

(4.2)



For $n < \infty$, we have a similar diagram:

(4.3)




Note that the arrow $\beta$ has no longer bijective. When $n = 0$, the diagram is represented as:

(4.4)




Here $(V_0)^H$ is the fixed-point locus of the $H$-action on $V_0$.

## 5. The change of variables formula

The untwisting technique, discussed in the last section, enables us to deduce a conjectural change of variables formula for the map $p_\infty : J_\infty^G V \to J_\infty X$. In turn, it will derive the McKay correspondence for linear actions in the next section.

We keep the notation from the last section.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $f : T \to S$ be a morphism of $D$-varieties which is generically étale. The *Jacobian ideal (sheaf)*

$$\mathrm{Jac}_f = \mathrm{Jac}_{T/S} \subset \mathcal{O}_T$$

is defined as the 0-th Fitting ideal (sheaf) of $\Omega_{T/S}$, the sheaf of Kähler differentials. We denote by $\mathbf{j}_f$ the order function of $\mathrm{Jac}_f$ on $J_\infty T$, $(J_\infty T)/G$ or $J_\infty^G T$ if $T$ has a faithful action of a finite group $G$. The ambiguity of the domain will not cause a confusion.

*Remark* 5.2. When $T$ is smooth, the function $\mathbf{j}_f$ on $J_\infty T$ coincides with the Jacobian order function, denoted by $\mathrm{ord}\,\mathrm{jac}_f$, in [Seb04] and mentioned in the proof of Proposition 2.6.

**Conjecture 5.3.** *Let the assumption be as in Section 4. Let $\Phi : J_\infty X \supset A \to \mathcal{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a measurable function with $A \subset p_\infty(J_\infty^{G,E}V)$ and let $p_{(\infty)}^{|F|}$ be the natural map $(J_\infty V^{|F|})/C_G(H) \to J_\infty X$. We have*

$$\int_A \Phi \, d\mu_{J_\infty X} = \int_{(p_{(\infty)}^{|F|})^{-1}(A)} (\Phi \circ p_{(\infty)}^{|F|}) \mathbb{L}^{-\mathbf{j}_{p^{|F|}}} \, d\mu_{(J_\infty V^{|F|})/C_G(H)}.$$

This conjecture would be proved by using existing techniques and arguments from [DL02] and [Seb04].

**Definition 5.4** ([Yasb].)**.** For $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$ with a connected component $F$, we define the *weights* of $E$ and $F$ with respect to $V$ as

$$\mathbf{w}_V(E) = \mathbf{w}_V(F) := \operatorname{codim}((V_0)^H, V_0) - \mathbf{v}_V(E)$$

with

$$\mathbf{v}_V(E) = \mathbf{v}_V(F) := \frac{1}{\sharp G} \cdot \operatorname{length} \frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_E)}{\mathcal{O}_E \cdot \Xi_F} = \frac{1}{\sharp H} \cdot \operatorname{length} \frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_F)}{\mathcal{O}_F \cdot \Xi_F}.$$

For the generalization to the case where $k$ is only perfect, see [WYa].

The definition above gives the *weight function,*

$$\mathbf{w}_V : G\text{-Cov}(D) \to \frac{1}{\sharp G}\mathbb{Z}.$$

We will denote the composition

$$J_\infty^G V \to G\text{-Cov}(D) \to \frac{1}{\sharp G}\mathbb{Z}$$

again by $\mathbf{w}_V$.

**Definition 5.5.** For an ideal $I \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ stable under the $G$-action and a $G$-arc $\gamma : E \to V$, we define a function

$$\operatorname{ord} I : J_\infty^G V \to \frac{1}{\sharp G}\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

by

$$(\operatorname{ord} I)(\gamma) := \frac{1}{\sharp G} \operatorname{length} \frac{\mathcal{O}_E}{\gamma^{-1} I} = \frac{1}{\sharp H} \operatorname{length} \frac{\mathcal{O}_F}{(\gamma|_F)^{-1} I}.$$

We then extend this to $G$-stable fractional ideals and $G$-stable $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combinations of closed subschemes as in Definition 2.2.

The conjectural change of variables formula is stated as follows:

**Conjecture 5.6** ([Yasb])**.** *For a measurable function $\Phi : J_\infty X \supset C \to \mathcal{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, we have*

$$\int_C \Phi \, d\mu_{J_\infty X} = \int_{p_\infty^{-1}(C)} (\Phi \circ p_\infty) \mathbb{L}^{-\mathbf{j}_p + \mathbf{w}_V} \, d\mu_{J_\infty^G V}.$$

To explain where the formula comes from, we first show a lemma:

**Lemma 5.7.** *We have*

$$\operatorname{Jac}_{V^{\langle F \rangle}/V \times_D F} = \mathfrak{m}_F^{\sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(F)} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F \rangle}}.$$

*Proof.* Let $u' : V^{\langle F\rangle} \to V \times_D F$ be the natural map. We have the standard exact sequence

$$(u')^*\Omega_{V\times_D F/F} \to \Omega_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/F} \to \Omega_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V\times_D F} \to 0.$$

The left map is identical to the map

$$M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}} \to M^{\langle F\rangle} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}}.$$

Since the Fitting ideal is compatible with base changes (for instance, see [Eis95, Cor. 20.5]), if $I$ denotes the 0th Fitting ideal of

$$\operatorname{coker}\left(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \mathcal{O}_F \to M^{\langle F\rangle}\right),$$

we have $\mathrm{Jac}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V\times_D F} = I \cdot \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}}$. It is now easy to see that $I = \mathfrak{m}_F^{\sharp H\cdot \mathbf{v}_V(F)}$, for instance, by considering a triangular matrix representing the map $M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D}\mathcal{O}_F \to M^{\langle F\rangle}$ for suitable bases. □

Conjecture 5.6 can be guessed from the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 5.8.** *For $\gamma \in J_\infty^G V$ and $n \gg 0$, the fiber of the map*

$$p_n : \pi_n(J_\infty^G V) \to J_n X$$

*over the image of $\gamma$ is homeomorphic to a quotient of the affine space*

$$\mathbb{A}_k^{(\mathbf{j}_p - \mathbf{w}_V)(\gamma)}$$

*by a linear finite group action.*

To see this, we first note that since two $G$-arcs $E \to V$ and $E' \to V$ with $E \not\cong E'$ have distinct images in $J_n X$ for $n \gg 0$, we can focus on $J_\infty^{G,E}V$ for fixed $E$. Fixing a $G$-arc $\gamma : E \to V$, we consider the map

$$(J_n V^{|F|})/C_G(H) \to J_n X.$$

The fiber of this map over the image of $\gamma$ should be homeomorphic to

$$\mathbb{A}_k^{\mathbf{j}_{p^{|F|}}(\gamma')}/A,$$

where $\gamma'$ is an arc of $V^{|F|}$ corresponding to $\gamma$ and $A$ is a certain subgroup of $C_G(H)$ acting linearly on the affine space. This fact would be proved in the course of proving Conjecture 5.3. On the other hand, the map

$$\pi_n\left(\mathrm{Hom}_F^H(F, V^{\langle F\rangle})\right)/C_G(H) \to \pi_n(J_\infty^G V)$$

induced by $u$ has fibers homeomorphic to

$$\mathbb{A}_k^{\mathrm{codim}((V_0)^H, V_0)}/B$$

for some finite group $B$, which can be seen by looking at diagrams (4.2)-(4.4). From Lemma 5.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{j}_{p^{|F|}} - \mathrm{codim}((V_0)^H, V_0)\\
&= \mathbf{j}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/X\times_D F} - \mathrm{codim}((V_0)^H, V_0)\\
&= (\mathbf{j}_{V\times_D F/X\times_D F} + \mathbf{j}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V\times_D F}) - \mathrm{codim}((V_0)^H, V_0)\\
&= \mathbf{j}_p - \mathbf{w}_V,
\end{aligned}$$

concluding Conjecture 5.8.

## 6. The McKay correspondence for linear actions

To state the McKay correspondence conjecture for linear actions, we first define the notion of *orbifold stringy motifs*. Keeping the notation from the last section, let $\mathfrak{X}$, $\mathfrak{V}$, $\mathfrak{V}^{\langle F\rangle}$ and $\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}$ be centered log structures on $X$, $V$, $V^{\langle F\rangle}$ and $V^{|F|}$ respectively so that the following morphisms are all crepant:




Since $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, either $\mathfrak{X}$ or $\mathfrak{V}$ determines the other centered log structures. The centered log structure $\mathfrak{V}$ is $G$-equivariant and $\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}$ $C_G(H)$-equivariant.

**Definition 6.1.** We define the *orbifold stringy motif* of the centered log $G$-$D$-variety $\mathfrak{V}$ to be

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathfrak{V}) := \int_{J_\infty^G\mathfrak{V}} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{V}+\mathbf{w}_V}\, d\mu_\mathfrak{V}^G.$$

Note that since $\mathfrak{V}$ is smooth over $D$, we have $\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{V} = \operatorname{ord}\Delta$ for the boundary $\Delta$ of $\mathfrak{V}$.

Arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.6 deduce the following conjecture from Conjecture 5.6:

**Conjecture 6.2** (The motivic McKay correspondence for linear actions I)**.** *We have*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathfrak{V}).$$

We will next formulate a conjecture presented in a slightly different way so that we will be able to generalize it to the non-linear case easily.

**Definition 6.3.** For $E \in G\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}(D)$, we define the *$E$-parts* of $M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathfrak{V})$ and $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X})$ respectively by

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E}(\mathfrak{V}) := \int_{J_\infty^{G,E}\mathfrak{V}} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{V}+\mathbf{w}_V}\, d\mu_{J_\infty^G\mathfrak{V}} \text{ and}$$

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^E(\mathfrak{X}) := \int_{p_\infty(J_\infty^{G,E}\mathfrak{V})} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_\mathfrak{X}}\, d\mu_{J_\infty\mathfrak{X}}.$$

By the same reasoning as the one for the last conjecture, we would have

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E}(\mathfrak{V}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}^E(\mathfrak{X}). \tag{6.1}$$

On the other hand, from Conjecture 5.3, we would have

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^E(\mathfrak{X}) = M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}). \tag{6.2}$$

Let $G\text{-Cov}(D) = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ be a conjectural stratification with finite dimensional strata $A_i$ (see Remark 3.6). The author [Yasb] conjectures also that each stratum $A_i$ may not be of finite type over $k$, but the limit of a family

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots$$

such that $X_j$ are of finite type and $f_i$ are homeomorphisms. We then define a *constructible subset* of $G\text{-Cov}(D)$ as a constructible subset of $\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{n} A_i$ for some $n < \infty$, which would be well-defined thanks to this conjecture. For a constructible subset $C$ of $G\text{-Cov}(D)$, its class $[C]$ in $\mathcal{R}$ is well-defined. Let $\tau$ denote the *tautological motivic measure* on $G\text{-Cov}(D)$ given by $\tau(C) := [C]$ for a constructible subset $C$. If a function $\Phi : G\text{-Cov}(D) \to \mathcal{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is constructible, that is, its image is countable and all fibers $\Phi^{-1}(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{R}$ are constructible, then the integral $\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \Phi \, d\tau$ is defined by

$$\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \Phi \, d\tau := \sum_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \tau(\Phi^{-1}(a)) \cdot a \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

From Conjecture 6.2 and conjectural equations (6.1) and (6.2), it seems natural to expect

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G}(\mathfrak{V}) = \int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} M_{\mathrm{st}, C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}) \, d\tau$$

and hence:

**Conjecture 6.4** (The motivic McKay correspondence for linear actions II)**.** *We have*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} M_{\mathrm{st}, C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}) \, d\tau.$$

This formulation of the McKay correspondence is what we will generalize to the non-linear case.

To make this conjecture more meaningful, it would be nice if we can compute $M_{\mathrm{st}, C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{V}^{|F|})$ explicitly. For this purpose, next we see how to determine the centered log structures $\mathfrak{V}^{\langle F \rangle}$ and $\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}$ from $\mathfrak{V}$. Let us write $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \Delta, W)$, $\mathfrak{V}^{\langle F \rangle} = (V, \Delta^{\langle F \rangle}, W^{\langle F \rangle})$ and $\mathfrak{V}^{|F|} = (V, \Delta^{|F|}, W^{|F|})$. The centers $W^{\langle F \rangle}$ and $W^{|F|}$ are simply determined by

$$W^{\langle F \rangle} = u^{-1}(W) \text{ and } W^{|F|} = r(W^{\langle F \rangle}).$$

The boundaries $\Delta^{\langle F \rangle}$ and $\Delta^{|F|}$ are determined as follows:

**Lemma 6.5.** *Regarding $V_0^{\langle F \rangle}$ and $V_0^{|F|}$ prime divisors on $V^{\langle F \rangle}$ and $V^{|F|}$, we have*

$$\Delta^{\langle F \rangle} = u^*\Delta - (\sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) + d_{F/D}) \cdot V_0^{\langle F \rangle}$$
$$\Delta^{|F|} = \frac{1}{\sharp H} \cdot r_* u^* \Delta - \mathbf{v}_V(E) \cdot V_0^{|F|}.$$

*Here $d_{F/D}$ is the different exponent of $F/D$, characterized by $\Omega_{F/D} \cong \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F^{d_{F/D}}$.*

*Proof.* For the first equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &u^*(K_V + \Delta) \\ &= K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}} - K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V} + u^*\Delta \\ &= K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}} - K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V\times_D F} - (u')^* K_{V\times_D F/V} + u^*\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Here $K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}}$ is the canonical divisor of $V^{\langle F\rangle}$ as a $D$-variety rather than a $F$-variety and $u'$ denotes the natural morphism $V^{\langle F\rangle} \to V \times_D F$. From Lemma 5.7,

$$K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V\times_D F} = \sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) \cdot V_0^{\langle F\rangle}.$$

Since $(u')^* K_{V\times_D F/V}$ is the pull-back of $K_{F/D}$, we have

$$(u')^* K_{V\times_D F/V} = d_{F/D} \cdot V_0^{\langle F\rangle}.$$

These equalities show the first equality of the lemma.

The second one follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &r^*(K_{V^{|F|}} + \frac{1}{\sharp H} \cdot r_* u^*\Delta - \mathbf{v}(E) \cdot V_0^{|F|}) \\ &= K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}} - K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/V^{|F|}} + u^*\Delta - \sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) \cdot V_0^{\langle F\rangle} \\ &= K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}} + u^*\Delta - (\sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) + d_{F/E}) \cdot V_0^{\langle F\rangle} \\ &= K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}} + \Delta^{\langle F\rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 6.6.** Suppose that $\Delta = 0$ and $W = \{o\}$ with $o \in V_0$ the origin. Then $\Delta^{|F|} = -\mathbf{v}_V(E) \cdot V_0^{|F|}$ and $W^{|F|} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^{\operatorname{codim}((V_0)^H, V_0)}$. Hence

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E}(\mathfrak{V}) = M_{\mathrm{st}, C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{V}^{|F|}) = \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{w}_V(E)}.$$

Conjecture 6.4 is reduced to the form,

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \int_{G\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{w}_V}\, d\tau. \tag{6.3}$$

If $p : V \to X$ is étale in codimension one and if we denote $p(o)$ again by $o$, then $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}(X)_o$ and the last equality is exactly what was conjectured in [Yasb].

*Remark* 6.7. If $\sharp G$ is prime to the characteristic of $k$, then $G$-$\mathrm{Cov}(D)$ is identified with the set of conjugacy classes of $G$, denoted by $\mathrm{Conj}(G)$. Equality (6.3) in the last example is then written as

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \sum_{[g]\in \mathrm{Conj}(G)} \mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{w}_V(g)}.$$

Expressing the weights $\mathbf{w}_V(g)$ in terms of eigenvalues, we recover results by Batyrev [Bat99], and Denef and Loeser [DL02].

## 7. The McKay correspondence for non-linear actions

In this section, we generalize Conjecture 6.4 to the non-linear case. It is rather easy, once we have formulated the conjecture as it is.

Let us consider an affine $D$-variety $\mathsf{v} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{v}}$ endowed with a faithful $G$-action. We fix a $G$-equivarint (locally closed) immersion

$$\mathsf{v} \hookrightarrow V$$

into an affine space $V \cong \mathbb{A}^d_D$ endowed with a linear $G$-action. Identifying $G$-arcs of $\mathsf{v}$ with those of $V$ factoring through $\mathsf{v}$, we regard $J^G_\infty \mathsf{v}$ as a subset of $J^G_\infty V$.

*Remark* 7.1. Such an immersion always exists. Indeed, let $f_1, \dots, f_n$ be generators of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{v}}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_D$-algebra, let $A := \bigcup_i f_i G$, the union of their orbits, and let $\mathcal{O}_D[x_f \mid f \in A]$ be the polynomial ring with variables $x_f$, $f \in A$ over $\mathcal{O}_D$. The ring has a natural $G$-action by permutations of variables. The $\mathcal{O}_D$-algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_D[x_f \mid f \in A] \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{v}},\ x_f \mapsto f$$

defines a desired immersion. Moreover this construction gives a *closed* immersion into $V$ on which $G$ acts by *permutations*. In this case, our weigh function $\mathbf{w}_V$ is closely related to the Artin and Swan conductors [WYa], although we do not use this fact in this paper.

**Definition 7.2.** For $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$ with a connected component $F$, we define the *pre-untwisting variety* of $\mathsf{v}$, denoted by $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F \rangle}$, as the irreducible component of $r^{-1}(\mathsf{v}) \subset V^{\langle F \rangle}$ which dominates $\mathsf{v}$. We then define the *untwisting variety*, denoted by $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$, as the image of $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F \rangle}$ in $V^{|F|}$. We also define the *normalized pre-untwisting* $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F \rangle, \nu}$ *and untwisting varieties* $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ to be the normalizations of $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F \rangle}$ and $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ respectively.

Let $\mathsf{x} := \mathsf{v}/G$. The following diagram shows natural morphisms of relevant varieties and symbols $t$, $s$ and $q$ denote morphisms as indicated:

(7.1)




The one-to-one correspondence obtained in the last section

$$J^{G,E}_\infty V \leftrightarrow (J_\infty V^{|F|})/C_G(H)$$

induces a one-to-one correspondence

$$J^{G,E}_\infty \mathsf{v} \leftrightarrow (J_\infty \mathsf{v}^{|F|})/C_G(H).$$

We obtain the following diagram:




If we put $J_\infty^E \mathsf{x}$ to be the image of $J_\infty^{G,E}\mathsf{v}$ in $J_\infty \mathsf{x}$, then we can naturally expect that $J_\infty^E \mathsf{x}$ coincides with the images of $J_\infty \mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ and $J_\infty \mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ modulo measure zero subsets.

From now on, we suppose that $\mathsf{v}$ is normal. Let $\mathfrak{v}$, $\mathfrak{v}^{\langle F\rangle,\nu}$, $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ and $\mathfrak{x}$ be centered log structures on $\mathsf{v}$, $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle,\nu}$ and $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ respectively such that the morphisms




are all crepant. The centered log $D$-varieties $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ are $G$- and $C_G(H)$-equivariant respectively. If we define the $E$-part $M_{\mathrm{st}}^E(\mathfrak{x})$ of $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{x})$, we can expect

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^E(\mathfrak{x}) = M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu})$$

similarly to the linear case. For the equality is a slight generalization of Conjecture 2.5 and would follow from the change of variables formula generalized along the line of [DL02], applied to the almost bijection

$$J_\infty \mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu} \to J_\infty^E \mathfrak{x}.$$

It is then natural to expect:

**Conjecture 7.3** (The McKay correspondence for non-linear actions)**.** *We have*

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{x}) = \int_{G\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}(D)} M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu})\, d\tau.$$

**Definition 7.4.** We define the *$E$-part of the orbifold stringy motif* of $\mathfrak{v}$ as

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E}(\mathfrak{v}) := M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu})$$

and the *orbifold stringy motif* of $\mathfrak{v}$ as

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G}(\mathfrak{v}) := \int_{G\text{-}\mathrm{Cov}(D)} M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E}(\mathfrak{v})\, d\tau.$$

With this definition, the last conjecture simply says

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{x}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G}(\mathfrak{v}).$$

*Remark* 7.5. The reader may wonder why we do not define $M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathfrak{v})$ as a motivic integral on $J_\infty^G\mathfrak{v}$, which appears more natural. It is because the author does not know whether one can define a motivic measure on $J_\infty^G\mathfrak{v}$. For, he does not know how to compute dimensions of fibers of

$$\pi_n(\mathrm{Hom}_F^H(F, \mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle,\nu}))/C_G(H) \to \pi_n(J_\infty^G\mathsf{v}).$$

Knowing it was, in the linear case, a key in formulating the change of variables formula (Conjecture 5.6) and determining the integrand $\mathbb{L}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathfrak{V}}+\mathbf{w}_V}$ in the definition of $M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathfrak{V})$.

## 8. Computing boundaries of untwisting varieties

To compute examples of the wild McKay correspondence, we need to determine centered log varieties $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu}$. It is easy to determine the center. In this section, supposing $\mathsf{v}$ and $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ are both normal and complete intersections in $V$ and $V^{|F|}$ respectively, we compute the boundary of $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$.

Let

$$t : \mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle} \to \mathsf{v} \text{ and } s : \mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle} \to \mathsf{v}^{|F|}$$

be the natural morphisms, although they are different from the morphisms denoted by the same symbols in diagram (7.1) unless $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}$ is also normal. The subvariety $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle} \subset V^{\langle F\rangle}$ is a complete intersection. To see this, first note that if $s^{-1}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|})$ denotes the scheme-theoretic preimage, then $\left(s^{-1}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|})\right)_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}$. The subscheme $s^{-1}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|}) \subset V^{\langle F\rangle}$ is a complete intersection, hence Cohen-Macaulay, and generically reduced. From [Eis95, THeorem 18.15], $s^{-1}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|})$ is actually reduced and

$$s^{-1}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|}) = \mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}.$$

In general, for a complete intersection subvariety $Y \subset X$, its *conormal sheaf* $\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}$ is defined as $I_Y/I_Y^2$ with $I_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ the defining ideal sheaf of $Y$. We put

$$\det \mathcal{C}_{Y/X} := \bigwedge^{\mathrm{codim}(Y,X)} \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}.$$

There exists a unique effective $H$-stable Cartier divisor $A_F$ on $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}$ such that

$$t^*\left(\det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}/V}\right) = \left(\det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}/V^{\langle F\rangle}}\right)(-A_F).$$

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let $\delta$ and $\delta^{|F|}$ be the boundaries of $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$ respectively and $C := V_0^{|F|}|_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}}$, the restriction of the prime divisor $V_0^{|F|}$ on $V^{|F|}$ to $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$. Then*

$$\delta^{|F|} = \frac{1}{\sharp H} \cdot s_*\left(t^*\delta + A_F\right) - \mathbf{v}_V(E) \cdot C.$$

*Proof.* Let $\epsilon^{|F|}$ be the right side of the equality. As in the proof of Lemma 6.5, it suffices to show that the pull-backs of divisors $K_{\mathsf{v}} + \delta$ and $K_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}} + \epsilon^{|F|}$ to $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}$ coincide. Since

$$s^*(\frac{1}{\sharp H} s_* t^*\delta) = t^*\delta,$$

we may suppose $\delta = 0$ and hence

$$\epsilon^{|F|} = \frac{1}{\sharp H} \cdot s_* A_F - \mathbf{v}_V(E) C.$$

By abuse of notation, identifying a divisor corresponding to an invertible sheaf, from the adjunction formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^*K_{\mathsf{v}} &= t^*\left(K_V|_{\mathsf{v}} - \det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}/V}\right) \\ &= \left(u^*K_V\right)|_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}} - \det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}/V^{\langle F\rangle}} + A_F. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $s^*(\det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}/V^{|F|}}) = \det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}/V^{\langle F\rangle}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} s^*(K_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}} + \epsilon^{|F|}) &= s^*(K_{V^{|F|}}|_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}} - \det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}/V^{|F|}}) - \sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) V_0^{\langle F\rangle}|_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}} + A_F \\ &= \left(r^*K_{V^{|F|}} - \sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) V_0^{\langle F\rangle}\right)|_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}} - \det \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}/V^{\langle F\rangle}} + A_F. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6.5,

$$r^*K_{V^{|F|}} - \sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) V_0^{\langle F\rangle} = K_{V^{\langle F\rangle}/D} - d_{F/D} V_0^{\langle F\rangle} - \sharp H \cdot \mathbf{v}_V(E) V_0^{\langle F\rangle} = u^*K_V,$$

which shows the proposition. □

It is handy to rewrite the proposition in the case of hypersurfaces as follows.

**Corollary 8.2.** *Suppose that $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is a hypersurface defined by a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{O}_V$ and write*

$$u_F^* f = \pi_F^b \phi,$$

*where $\pi_F$ is a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_F$, $b$ is an integer $b \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}}$ with $\pi_F \nmid \phi$. Then, with the notation as above, we have*

$$\delta^{|F|} = \frac{1}{\sharp H} s_* t^* \delta + \left(\frac{b}{\sharp H} - \mathbf{v}_V(E)\right) \cdot C.$$

*Proof.* The corollary follows from

$$A_F = b \cdot (V_0^{\langle F\rangle}|_{\mathsf{v}_0^{\langle F\rangle}}) \text{ and } s_* A_F = b \cdot C.$$

□

*Remark* 8.3. In the corollary above, if $f$ is $G$-invariant, then $b$ is a multiple of $\sharp H$ and hence $b/\sharp H$ is an integer.

## 9. A tame singular example

In this section, we verify Conjecture 7.3 in an example from the tame case, where $\mathsf{v}$ is not regular.

Suppose that $k$ has characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $D := \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]]$, $V := \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]][x, y, z]$ and $\mathsf{v} = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]][x, y, z]/(xz - y^2)$, the trivial family of the $A_1$-singularity over $\operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]]$. We suppose that $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{1, g\}$ acts on $V$ by

$$xg = -x,\ yg = y,\ zg = -z.$$

The subvariety $\mathsf{v}$ is stable under the $G$-action and the quotient variety $\mathsf{x} = \mathsf{v}/G$ can be embedded into $\mathbb{A}^3_{k[[\pi]]} = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]][u, v, w]$ and gives the hypersurface defined by the equation $uv - w^4 = 0$. Thus $\mathsf{x}$ is the trivial family of the $A_3$-singularity over $\operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]]$.

Since the morphism $\mathsf{v} \to \mathsf{x}$ is étale in codimension one, it is crepant (with the identification (2.1)). Let $\tilde{\mathsf{x}}_0 \to \mathsf{x}_0$ be the minimal resolution and $\tilde{\mathsf{x}} := \mathsf{x} \otimes_k k[[\pi]]$. The natural morphism $\tilde{\mathsf{x}} \to \mathsf{x}$ is crepant. From Proposition 2.7,

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathsf{x}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}(\tilde{\mathsf{x}}) = [\tilde{\mathsf{x}}_0] = \mathbb{L}^2 + 3\mathbb{L}.$$

Next we will compute $M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathsf{v})$ and verify that it coincides with $M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathsf{x})$. There are exactly two $G$-covers of $D$ up to isomorphism: the trivial one $E_1 = D \sqcup D \to D$ and the nontrivial one

$$E_2 = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi^{1/2}]] \to D = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]],$$

and hence

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathsf{v}) = M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_1}(\mathsf{v}) + M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_2}(\mathsf{v}).$$

As for the first term $M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_1}(\mathsf{v})$, we have $\mathsf{v}^{|D|} = \mathsf{v}$. Consider the minimal resolution $\tilde{\mathsf{v}}_0 \to \mathsf{v}_0$ and put $\tilde{\mathsf{v}} := \tilde{\mathsf{v}}_0 \otimes_k k[[\pi]]$. Then the morphism $\tilde{\mathsf{v}} \to \mathsf{v}$ is crepant. Since the $G$-action on the exceptional locus is trivial, from Proposition 2.9,

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_1}(\mathsf{v}) = M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\tilde{\mathsf{v}}) = \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}.$$

Next we compute $M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_2}(\mathsf{v})$. For $F = E_2$, the tuning module $\Xi_F$ has a basis

$$\pi^{1/2}x^*, y^*, \pi^{1/2}z^*, \tag{9.1}$$

with $x^*, y^*, z^*$ the dual basis of $x$, $y$, $z$. If we denote the dual basis of (9.1) by x, y, z, then we can write $u^*$ as

$$\begin{aligned} u^* : k[[\pi]][x,y,z] &\to k[[\pi^{1/2}]][\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y},\mathrm{z}] \\ x &\mapsto \pi^{1/2}\mathrm{x} \\ y &\mapsto \mathrm{y} \\ z &\mapsto \pi^{1/2}\mathrm{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ is given by

$$\pi \mathrm{xz} - \mathrm{y}^2 = 0.$$

Since the non-regular locus of $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ has dimension one, the variety $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ is normal. From Corollary 8.2, the boundary $\delta^{|F|}$ of $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$ is given by

$$\delta^{|F|} = -V_0^{|F|}|_{\mathsf{v}^{|F|}}.$$

Hence

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_2}(\mathsf{v}) = M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}) = M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|})\mathbb{L}^{-1}.$$

The $G$-action on $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{x}g = -\mathrm{x},\ \mathrm{y}g = \mathrm{y},\ \mathrm{z}g = -\mathrm{z}.$$

The non-regular locus of $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ consists of three irreducible components

$$C_1 = \{\mathrm{x} = \mathrm{y} = \mathrm{z} = 0\},\ C_2 = \{\mathrm{x} = \mathrm{y} = \pi = 0\},\ C_3 = \{\mathrm{y} = \mathrm{z} = \pi = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathsf{v}_1 \to \mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ be the blowup along $C_1$. Then the non-regular locus of $\mathsf{v}_1$ is exactly the union of the strict transforms $C_2'$ and $C_3'$ of $C_2$ and $C_3$. Moreover the singularities of $\mathsf{v}_1$ are two trivial families of the $A_1$-singularity over $\mathbb{A}^1_k$. Let $\mathsf{v}_2 \to \mathsf{v}_1$ be the blowup along $C_2'$ and $C_3'$. Then $\mathsf{v}_2$ is regular. If $A_2$ and $A_3$ are the exceptional prime divisors over $C_2'$

and $C_3'$ respectively, then the smooth locus of $\mathsf{v}_2 \to D$ in the special fiber is the disjoint union of open subsets $A_2' \subset E_2$ and $A_3' \subset E_3$ with $A_2' \cong A_3' \cong \mathbb{A}^2_k$. Since the morphism $\mathsf{v}_2 \to \mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ is crepant and the $G$-action on its exceptional locus is trivial,

$$M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|}) = M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathsf{v}_2) = [A_2' \sqcup A_3'] = 2\mathbb{L}^2$$

and

$$M^G_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathsf{v}) = M^{G,E_1}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathsf{v}) + M^{G,E_2}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathsf{v}) = \mathbb{L}^2 + 3\mathbb{L},$$

as desired.

## 10. A wild non-linear example

In this section, we compute an example from the wild case.

Suppose that $k$ has characteristic two. Let $V := \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]][x,y]$ on which the group $G = \{1, g\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by the transposition of $x$ and $y$, and $\mathsf{v} := \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]][x,y]/(x + y + xy)$. The completion of $\mathsf{v}$ at the origin $o \in \mathsf{v}_0 \subset V_0$ gives

$$\operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi, x]]$$

with the $G$-action by

$$xg = \frac{x}{1+x} = x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots.$$

The invariant subring of $k[[\pi, x]]$ is

$$k[[\pi, x]]^g = k[[\pi, \frac{x^2}{1+x}]].$$

Since

$$k[[x]] = \frac{k[[\frac{x^2}{1+x}]][X]}{\langle F(X)\rangle},\ F(X) := X^2 + \frac{x^2}{1+x}X + \frac{x^2}{1+x},$$

the different of $k[[x]]/k[[\frac{x^2}{1+x}]]$ is

$$\langle F'(x)\rangle = \left\langle x^2 \right\rangle$$

(see [Ser79, page 56, Cor. 2]). Let $Z \subset V$ be the zero section of $V \to D$, defined by the ideal $\langle x, y\rangle \subset k[[\pi]][x,y]$. We regard $Z$ as a prime divisor on $\mathsf{v}$. Note that $2Z$ is defined by $x + y = 0$.

If we put $\mathfrak{v} = (\mathsf{v}, \delta = -2Z, o)$ and $\mathfrak{x} = (\mathsf{x}, 0, \bar{o})$ with $\bar{o}$ the image of $o$, then the quotient morphism $q : \mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{x}$ is crepant. We obviously have

$$M_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{x}) = 1.$$

Next we will verify that $M^G_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{v}) = 1$. For the trivial $G$-cover $E_1 = D \sqcup D \to D$, since $\mathsf{v}^{|D|} = \mathsf{v}$, from Proposition 2.9, we have

$$M^{G,E_1}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{v}) = \frac{\mathbb{L}-1}{\mathbb{L}^3-1} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}^2+\mathbb{L}+1}.$$

Let $E = F = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\rho]]$ be any non-trivial $G$-cover of $D = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]]$. The associated tuning module $\Xi_F$ is generated by two elements $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ given by

$$\alpha_1 : x \mapsto 1,\ y \mapsto 1$$

and

$$\alpha_2 : x \mapsto \rho,\ y \mapsto \rho g.$$

Let x and y be the dual basis of $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. Then $u^*$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} k[[\pi]][x,y] &\to k[[\rho]][\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y}] \\ x &\mapsto \mathrm{x} + \rho\mathrm{y} \\ y &\mapsto \mathrm{x} + (\rho g)\mathrm{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}$ and $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{x} + \rho\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{x} + (\rho g)\mathrm{y}) + (\mathrm{x} + \rho\mathrm{y}) + (\mathrm{x} + (\rho g)\mathrm{y}) \\ &= \mathrm{x}^2 + \mathrm{Nr}(\rho)\mathrm{y}^2 + \mathrm{Tr}(\rho)\mathrm{y}(1 + \mathrm{x}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The $G$-action on $k[[\rho]][\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y}]$ is given by

$$\mathrm{x}g = \mathrm{x},\ \mathrm{y}g = \frac{\rho g}{\rho}\mathrm{y}. \tag{10.1}$$

The pull-back of $2Z$ to $\mathsf{v}^{\langle F\rangle}$ is defined by $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho)\mathrm{y}$. Let $S := \mathsf{v}_0^{|F|}$, regarded as a prime divisor on $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ and let $B$ be the prime divisor on $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ such that $2B$ is defined by $\mathrm{y} = 0$. From Corollary 8.2, the boundary $\delta^{|F|}$ of $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$ is

$$-4nS - 2B$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ given by $\langle \mathrm{Tr}(\rho)\rangle = \langle \pi^n\rangle$. The center of $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$ is $\mathsf{v}_0^{|F|}$. Hence

$$M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}) = M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|}, -2B)\mathbb{L}^{-2n}.$$

Let us now consider the case $n = 1$. The variety $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ has two $A_1$-singularities at

$$(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y},\pi) = (0,0,0),\ (0,1,0).$$

Blowing them up, we get a crepant morphism $\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|} \to \mathsf{v}^{|F|}$. Let $N_0$ and $N_1$ be the exceptional prime divisors over $(0,0,0)$ and $(0,1,0)$ respectively. The $G$-action on $N_0$ is trivial and the one on $N_1$ linear. Let $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|}$ be the strict transform of $B$. The morphism $(\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|}, -2\tilde{B} - N_0) \to (\mathsf{v}^{|F|}, -2B)$ is crepant. Since $\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|}$ is regular and the smooth locus of $\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|} \to D$ in the special fiber is

$$N_0 \setminus \{1 \text{ point}\} \sqcup N_1 \setminus \{1 \text{ point}\},$$

where the removed point of $N_0$ is different from the intersection $N_0 \cap \tilde{B}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\mathsf{v}^{|F|}, -2B) &= M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|}, -2\tilde{B} - N_0) \\ &= \mathbb{L} + \left((\mathbb{L} - 1) + \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^3 - 1}\right)\mathbb{L}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{L} + 1)^2}{\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next consider the case $n \geq 2$. Then $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ is non-regular only at the origin $o = (0, 0, 0)$. The completion of $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ at the origin is

$$\operatorname{Spec} \frac{k[[\pi, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]]}{\langle \mathrm{x}^2 + \pi \mathrm{y}^2 + \pi^n \mathrm{y} \rangle}$$

after a suitable change of coordinates, which is the $D^0_{2n}$-singularity in Artin's classification [Art77]. Let $f : \tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|} \to \mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ be the minimal resolution. The exceptional prime divisors $N_1, \ldots, N_{2n}$ and the strict transform $\tilde{B}$ of $B$ and the one $\tilde{S}$ of $S$ are arranged as indicated in the following dual graph:




Here the pairs of numbers, say $(a, b)$, mean that $a$ is the multiplicity of the relevant prime divisor in $f^*(2S)$ and $b$ the one in $f^*(2B)$. If we put

$$\tilde{\delta}^{|F|} := -2\tilde{B} - (n-1)N_1 - nN_2 - \sum_{i=2}^{2n-1} (2n-i)N_{i+1},$$

then the morphism

$$(\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|}, \tilde{\delta}^{|F|}) \to (\mathsf{v}^{|F|}, -2B)$$

is crepant. Since $N_1$ and $N_2$ are the only prime divisors having multiplicity one in $f^*(2S)$, the smooth locus of the morphism $\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|} \to D$ in the special fiber is

$$(N_1 \sqcup N_2) \setminus N_3.$$

Since the $G$-action on the exceptional locus of $f$ is trivial, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathrm{st},G}(\tilde{\mathsf{v}}^{|F|}, \tilde{\delta}^{|F|}) &= \mathbb{L} \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-n+1} + \left( (\mathbb{L} - 1) + \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^3 - 1} \right) \mathbb{L}^{-n} \\ &= \frac{(\mathbb{L}+1)^2 \mathbb{L}^{2-n}}{\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, for $n > 0$, we have

$$M^{G,E}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathfrak{v}) = \frac{(\mathbb{L}+1)^2 \mathbb{L}^{2-3n}}{\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1}$$

Since the locus of $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$ with $\operatorname{ord}_\pi \operatorname{Tr}(\rho) = n$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{m,k} \times \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}$ (see [Yasa]),

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathrm{st}}^G(\mathfrak{v}) &= M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E_1}(\mathfrak{v}) + \int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)\setminus\{E_1\}} M_{\mathrm{st}}^{G,E}(\mathfrak{v})\, d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}^2+\mathbb{L}+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbb{L}+1)^2\mathbb{L}^{2-3n}}{\mathbb{L}^2+\mathbb{L}+1} \times (\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}^{n-1} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

## 11. Stable hyperplanes in permutation representations

When $G$ acts on $V$ by permutations of coordinates, then functions $\mathbf{v}_V$ and $\mathbf{w}_V$ can be computed by using Artin or Swan conductors, or discrminants or differents [WYa]. In this section, we generalize it to the case of a hyperplane in a permutation representation defined by an invariant linear form.

Suppose that $G$ acts on

$$V = \mathbb{A}_D^d = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_D[x_1, \dots, x_d]$$

by permutations of coordinates and

$$\mathbb{A}_D^{d-1} \cong \mathsf{v} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_V / \langle f \rangle \subset V$$

is a hyperplane defined by a $G$-invariant linear form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{d} f_i x_i \in M^G \quad \left( M := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{O}_D x_i \right).$$

The assumption that $\mathbb{A}_D^{d-1} \cong \mathsf{v}$ means that at least one coefficient $f_i$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_D$.

Fix $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$ and a connected component $F$ of $E$ with stabilizer $H$. Let

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\} = O_1 \sqcup O_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup O_l$$

be the decomposition into the $H$-orbits. Reordering $x_1, \dots, x_d$ if necessary, we suppose that

$$O_j = x_j H,\ 1 \le j \le l.$$

The assumption $f \in M^G$ now means that if $i \in O_j$ and if $h_i \in H$ is any element sending $x_j$ to $x_i$, then

$$f_i = f_j h_i.$$

For $1 \le j \le l$, we put $H_j \subset H$ to be the stabilizer of $j$, which has order $\sharp H / \sharp O_j$, and put $C := \operatorname{Spec} (\mathcal{O}_F)^{H_j}$, which is a cover of $D$ of degree $\sharp O_j$. Accordingly

$$C := \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{l} C_j \to D$$

is a cover of degree $d$. Here we say that a morphism $C \to D$ is a cover if $C$ is the normalization of $D$ in some finite étale (not necessarily Galois) $K(D)$-algebra. We obtain $C$ from $E$ also in the following way. If $G_D$ is the absolute Galois group of $K(D)$, then the $G$-cover $E$ corresponds to a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G_D \to G$

(up to conjugation). Since $G$ acts on $\{1,\dots,d\}$ by conjugation, we get a continuous action of $G_D$ on $\{1,\dots,d\}$, giving a finite étale cover $C^\circ \to \operatorname{Spec} K(D)$. Taking the normalization of $D$ in $C^\circ$, we get $C$ (up to isomorphism).

For a cover $C \to D$, we denote by $d_{C/D}$ its *discriminant exponent*: the discriminant of the extension $K(C)/K(D)$ is $\mathfrak{m}_D^{d_{C/D}}$. If $C$ is connected, then $d_{C/D}$ is the same as the different exponent appearing in Lemma 6.5 (note that since $C$ and $D$ have the same algebraically closed residue field, the ramification index of a cover $C \to D$ is equal to its degree.)

**Lemma 11.1.** *We have*

$$\mathbf{v}_V(E) = \frac{d_{C/D}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{C_j/D}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from [Ked07, Lemma 3.4] and [WYa, Theorem 4.7]. □

We have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : \Xi_F = \operatorname{Hom}^H_{\mathcal{O}_D}(M, \mathcal{O}_F) &\to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \mathcal{O}_{C_j} \\ \phi &\mapsto (\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_l)).\end{aligned}$$

For each $e \geq 0$, we choose an element $\rho_{j,e} \in \mathcal{O}_{C_j}$ with $v_{C_j}(\rho_{j,e}) = e$, where $v_{C_j}$ is the normalized valuation of $K(C_j)$. The elements

$$\rho_{j,e} \quad (0 \leq e < \sharp O_j = [C_j : D])$$

form a basis of $\mathcal{O}_{C_j}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_D$-module, and

$$\sigma_{j,e} := (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{\breve{\rho}}_{j,e}, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l,\ 0 \leq e < \sharp O_j)$$

form a basis of $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \mathcal{O}_{C_j}$. Let $\psi_{j,e} \in M^{\langle F \rangle}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, $0 \leq e < \sharp O_j$, be the dual basis of $\sigma_{j,e}$ through the isomorphism $\alpha$. The map $u_F^* : M \to M^{\langle F \rangle}$ sends $x_i$ with $i \in O_j$ to

$$\sum_{e=0}^{\sharp O_j - 1} (\rho_{j,e} \cdot h_i)\psi_{j,e},$$

where $h_i$ is any element of $H$ sending $x_j$ to $x_i$ as above, and $f$ to

$$\begin{aligned}u_F^*(f) &= \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{e=0}^{\sharp O_j - 1} \left( \sum_{i \in O_j} f_i(\rho_{j,e} h_i) \right) \psi_{j,e} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq l \\ 0 \leq e < \sharp O_j}} \operatorname{Tr}_{C_j/D}(f_j \rho_{j,e})\psi_{j,e}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\operatorname{Tr}_{C_j/D}$ is the trace map $K(C_j) \to K(D)$.

**Lemma 11.2.** *Let $B \to D$ be a connected cover of degree $n$. For $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{B/D}(\mathfrak{m}_B^e) = \mathfrak{m}_D^{\left\lfloor \frac{e+d_{B/D}}{n} \right\rfloor}.$$

*Here $\lfloor r \rfloor$ is the largest integer $\leq r$. In particular, there exists a generator $\rho_e$ of $\mathfrak{m}_B^e$ such that*

$$v_D(\mathrm{Tr}_{B/D}(\rho_e)) = \left\lfloor \frac{e+d_{B/D}}{n} \right\rfloor$$

*with $v_D$ the normalized valuation of $K(D)$.*

*Proof.* From [Ser79, Proposition 7, page 50], for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{B/D}(\mathfrak{m}_B^e) \subset \mathfrak{m}_D^a &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_B^e \subset \mathfrak{m}_B^{an-d_{B/D}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{e+d_{B/D}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the first assertion. To show the second assertion, suppose by contrary that there does not exist such a generator of $\mathfrak{m}_B^e$. From the first assertion, there exists an element $\tau \in \mathfrak{m}_B^{e+1}$ with

$$v_D(\mathrm{Tr}_{B/D}(\tau)) = \left\lfloor \frac{e+d_{B/D}}{n} \right\rfloor.$$

For any generator $\rho$ of $\mathfrak{m}_B^e$, $\rho+\tau$ is a generator with the desired property, a contradiction. □

**Proposition 11.3.** *Let us write $u_F^*(f) = \pi_F^b \phi$ with $\phi$ irreducible (a linear form over $\mathcal{O}_D$ with at least one coefficient a unit). Namely $b$ is the order of $u_F^*(f)$ along $V_0^{\langle F \rangle}$. Then*

$$b = \sharp H \cdot \min\left\{ v_D(f_j) + \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \right\}.$$

*Here we put $v_D(0) := +\infty$ by convention.*

*Proof.* From the lemma above, for a suitable choice of $\rho_{j,e}$, we have

$$v_D\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{C_j/D}(f_j \rho_{j,e})\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{v_{C_j}(f_j) + e + d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \min\{v_F(\mathrm{Tr}_{C_j/D}(f_j\rho_{j,e})) \mid 1 \leq j \leq l,\, 0 \leq e < \sharp O_j\} \\ &= \sharp H \cdot \min\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{v_{C_j}(f_j) + e + d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l,\, 0 \leq e < \sharp O_j \right\} \\ &= \sharp H \cdot \min\left\{ v_D(f_j) + \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which shows the proposition. □

**Corollary 11.4.** *For $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$, we have*

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{Cj/D} - \min\left\{ v_D(f_j) + \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \right\}.$$

*In particular, if $f = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_d$, then*

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{l} d_{C_j/D} - \min\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \right\}.$$

*Proof.* In our situation, the symbol $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ has, a priori, two meanings: one is obtained by applying the untwisting technique directly to $\mathsf{v}$ and the other by first applying it to $V$ and taking the induced subvariety in $V^{|F|}$. However the two constructions actually coincide. Indeed, if $\mathsf{m}$ is the linear part of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{v}}$, then we have a surjection $M \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{m}$. It induces a surjection $M^{|F|} \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{m}^{|F|}$ and a closed immersion $\mathbb{A}_D^{d-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_D^d$. This shows the claim. Therefore there is no confusion in the use of the symbol as well as $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$.

The boundary of $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$ is $-\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) \cdot \mathsf{v}_0^{|F|}$ from Lemma 6.5, while

$$\left( \min\left\{ v_D(f_j) + \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j : D]} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq j \leq l \right\} - \mathbf{v}_V(E) \right) \cdot \mathsf{v}_0^{|F|}$$

from Propositions 8.1 and 11.3. Comparing the coefficients shows the corollary. □

*Remark* 11.5. (1) Let $p$ denote the characteristic of $k$. If $p \nmid [C_j : D]$, then $d_{C_j/D} = [C_j : D] - 1$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_j/D}}{[C_j:D]} \right\rfloor = 0$. Therefore, if $p \nmid d$ and if $f = x_1 + \cdots + x_d$, then since at least one $C_j$ satisfies $p \nmid [C_j : D]$, we have $\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}} = \mathbf{v}_V$. This equality is also explained as follows. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathsf{v} \to V \xrightarrow{(x_1,\ldots,x_d)\mapsto \sum x_i} \mathbb{A}_D^1 \to 0,$$

whether we have $p \mid d$. If $p \nmid d$, this sequence splits. The equality follows from the additivity of $\mathbf{v}_\bullet$ (see [WYa]).

(2) If, for some $j$, $f_j$ is a unit and $\sharp O_j = 1$ (hence $C_j = D$ and $d_{C_j/D} = 0$), then the corollary above deduces that $\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}} = \mathbf{v}_V$. Again $V$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathsf{v}$ and a one-dimensional trivial representation, this time, as an $H$-representation.

**Example 11.6.** Let $p$ be a prime number and $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_D = k[[\pi]]$ with $k$ of characteristic $p$ and that $G$ acts on $V = \operatorname{Spec} k[[\pi]][x_1, \ldots, x_p]$ by

$$g(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_{i+1} & (1 \leq i < p) \\ x_1 & (i = p). \end{cases}$$

and that $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is the hyperplane defined by $f = x_1 + \cdots + x_p$. Let $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$ be a connected $G$-cover. The *ramification jump* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of $E$ is given by

$$j := v_D(\pi_E g - \pi_E) - 1,$$

which is not divisible by $p$. From [Ser79, page 83, Lemma 3],

$$d_{E/D} = (p-1)(j+1).$$

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) &= \frac{d_{E/D}}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{d_{E/D}}{p} \right\rfloor \\ &= \frac{(p-1)(j+1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1)(j+1)}{p} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left( \frac{(p-1)(j-1)}{2} + (p-1) \right) - \left( 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1)j}{p} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= (p-2) + \left( \frac{(p-1)(j-1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1)j}{p} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= (p-2) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \left\lfloor \frac{ij}{p} \right\rfloor .\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from

$$\frac{(p-1)(j-1)}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\lfloor \frac{ij}{p} \right\rfloor$$

(for instance, see [GKP89, page 94]). Since $\operatorname{codim}(\mathsf{v}_0^G, \mathsf{v}) = p-2$,

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = (p-2) - \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \left\lfloor \frac{ij}{p} \right\rfloor ,$$

which coincides with computation in [Yasa] (see also [Yasb]).

## 12. Some $S_4$-masses in characteristic two

In this section, we consider the case where $\mathcal{O}_D$ has characteristic two, $G$ is the symmetric group $S_4$, $V := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_D[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ with the standard $G$-action and $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is the hyperplane defined by $f = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$. The induced $G$-action on $\mathsf{v}$ is still faithful, since $\mathsf{v}$ contains a point whose coordinates are distinct one another, for instance, $(0, 1, a, a+1)$ with $a \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}$. As an application of the computation of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}$ in the last section, we will compute motivic integrals

$$\mathbb{M} = \int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{-3\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}\, d\tau \text{ and } \mathbb{M}' := \int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{3\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{v}}}\, d\tau$$

under some assumptions and observe that $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}'$ are dual to each other. Such a duality was first observed in [WYa] and will be discussed in more details in [WYb]. It is also related to the Poincaré duality of stringy motifs. The number 3 in the integrals was chosen, because for $n = 1, 2$, integrals $\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{-n\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}\, d\tau$ and $\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \mathbb{L}^{n\mathbf{w}_{\mathsf{v}}}\, d\tau$ diverge.

To compute $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}'$, we decompose them into the sums of 5 terms respectively. For $n \geq 0$, let $\mathrm{Fie}_n$ and $\mathrm{Eta}_n$ be the (conjectural) moduli spaces of degree $n$ field extensions and étale extensions of $K(D)$ respectively. Since $G = S_4$, giving a continuous homomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(K(D)^{\mathrm{sep}}/K(D)) \to G$ is equivalent to giving a continuous

$\mathrm{Gal}(K(D)^{\mathrm{sep}}/K(D))$-action on $\{1,..,n\}$. Therefore the map

$$\begin{aligned} G\text{-Cov}(D) &\to \mathrm{Eta}_4 \\ E &\mapsto \mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} K(D) \end{aligned}$$

is bijective. Since there are exactly 5 partitions of 4,

$$(4),\ (3,1),\ (2^2),\ (2,1^2),\ (1^4).$$

and $\mathrm{Fie}_1$ is a singleton, we have the following decomposition of $\mathrm{Eta}_4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Eta}_4 &\cong \mathrm{Fie}_4 \sqcup (\mathrm{Fie}_3 \times \mathrm{Fie}_1) \sqcup \frac{(\mathrm{Fie}_2)^2}{\iota} \sqcup \left(\mathrm{Fie}_2 \times (\mathrm{Fie}_1)^2\right) \sqcup (\mathrm{Fie}_1)^4 \\ &\cong \mathrm{Fie}_4 \sqcup \mathrm{Fie}_3 \sqcup \frac{(\mathrm{Fie}_2)^2}{\iota} \sqcup \mathrm{Fie}_2 \sqcup \{1\mathrm{pt}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\iota$ is the involution of $(\mathrm{Fie}_2)^2$ given by the transposition of components. We have the corresponding stratification

$$G\text{-Cov}(D) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{p}} G\text{-Cov}(D)_{\mathbf{p}},$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ runs over the partitions of 4 and the corresponding decompositions of $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}'$,

$$\mathbb{M} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{p}} \text{ and } \mathbb{M}' = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbb{M}'_{\mathbf{p}}.$$

To further computations, we need to assume the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 12.1** (The motivic version of Krasner's formula)**.** *Suppose that $k$ has characteristic $p > 0$. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and $\mathrm{Fie}_{m,d} \subset \mathrm{Fie}_m$ the locus of degree $m$ field extensions of $k((\pi))$ with discriminant exponent $d$. Then we have the equality in $\mathcal{R}$,*

$$[\mathrm{Fie}_{m,d}] = \begin{cases} 1 & (p \nmid m,\ d = m-1) \\ 0 & (p \nmid m,\ d \neq m-1) \\ (\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}^{\lfloor (d-m+1)/p \rfloor} & (p \mid m,\ p \nmid (d-m+1)) \\ 0 & (p \mid m,\ p \mid (d-m+1)). \end{cases}$$

Krasner [Kra66] showed that if $q = p^e$ is a power of a prime number $p$, then the number of totally ramified degree $m$ extensions of the power series field $\mathbb{F}_q((\pi))$ in its algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((\pi))}$ is exactly

$$\begin{cases} m & (p \nmid m,\ d = m-1) \\ 0 & (p \nmid m,\ d \neq m-1) \\ m(q-1)q^{\lfloor (d-m+1)/p \rfloor} & (p \mid m,\ p \nmid (d-m+1)) \\ 0 & (p \mid m,\ p \mid (d-m+1)). \end{cases}$$

Counting isomorphism classes (with weights coming from automorphisms) rather than subfields of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((\pi))}$ as did in [Ser78], we can kill the factor $m$. The conjecture above seems to be the only reasonable possibility.

In what follows, we exhibit how to compute $\mathbb{M}_{(2^2)}$ and $\mathbb{M}'_{(2^2)}$. Computations of the other terms are similar and easier. We go back to the assumption that $k$ has characteristic two. If $d = 2n + m$, then the conjecture reads

$$[\mathrm{Fie}_{m,d}] = (\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^n.$$

Let $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)_{2,2}$ and $C = C_1 \sqcup C_2$ the associated quartic cover of $D$, where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are double covers of $D$ with $d_{C_1/D} \leq d_{C_2/D}$. Then

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = \frac{d_{C_1/D} + d_{C_2/D}}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{d_{C_1/D}}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

If we write $d_{C_1/D} = 2n + 2$ and $d_{C_2/D} = 2m + 2$ for some $m \geq n \geq 0$,

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}(E) = m + 1.$$

From the last property of $\mathcal{R}$ in the list in Section 2.3, we have

$$\left[\frac{(\mathbb{G}_m)^n}{S_n}\right] = \left[\frac{\mathbb{A}_k^n}{S_n}\right] - \left[\frac{\mathbb{A}_k^{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}\right] = \mathbb{L}^n - \mathbb{L}^{n-1}.$$

Accordingly,

$$\left[\frac{(\mathrm{Fie}_{2,2n+2})^2}{\iota}\right] = (\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^{2n+1}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{M}_{(2^2)} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}(\mathbb{L}-1)^2\mathbb{L}^{n+m}\cdot\mathbb{L}^{-3(m+1)} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}^{2m+1}\cdot\mathbb{L}^{-3(m+1)} \\
&= (\mathbb{L}-1)^2\mathbb{L}^{-3}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{L}^{-2m}\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\mathbb{L}^n + (\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}^{-2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{L}^{-m} \\
&= (\mathbb{L}-1)^2\mathbb{L}^{-3}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{L}^{-2m}\cdot\frac{\mathbb{L}^m-1}{\mathbb{L}-1} + (\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}^{-2}\cdot\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L}-1} \\
&= (\mathbb{L}-1)\mathbb{L}^{-3}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{L}^{-m} - \mathbb{L}^{-2m}\right) + \mathbb{L}^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-2} + \mathbb{L}^{-1} + 1}{\mathbb{L}+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we suppose that the $H$-orbits in $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ are $\{x_1, x_3\}$ and $\{x_2, x_4\}$, then

$$\mathbb{A}_k^2 \cong \{(x, y, x, y) \mid x, y \in k\} = V_0^H \subset \mathsf{v}_0^H,$$

we have $\mathrm{codim}(\mathsf{v}_0^H, \mathsf{v}_0) = 1$ and

$$\mathbb{M}'_{(2^2)} = \mathbb{L}^3 \cdot \mathbb{M}_{(2^2)} = \frac{\mathbb{L} + \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}^3}{\mathbb{L}+1}.$$

Thus $\mathbb{M}_{(2^2)}$ and $\mathbb{M}'_{(2^2)}$ are dual to each other in the sense that they interchange by substituting $\mathbb{L}^{-1}$ for $\mathbb{L}$.

For the other terms $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\mathbb{M}'_{\mathbf{p}}$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{(4)} &= \mathbb{L}^{-4} + \mathbb{L}^{-2} & \mathbb{M}'_{(4)} &= \mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 \\ \mathbb{M}_{(3,1)} &= \mathbb{L}^{-3} & \mathbb{M}'_{(3,1)} &= \mathbb{L}^3 \\ \mathbb{M}_{(2,1^2)} &= \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-1}}{\mathbb{L}+1} & \mathbb{M}'_{(2,1^2)} &= \frac{\mathbb{L}^2}{\mathbb{L}+1} \\ \mathbb{M}_{(1^4)} &= 1 & \mathbb{M}'_{(1^4)} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

For each partition $\mathbf{p}$, we would have the duality. Summing these up, we get

$$\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{L}^{-4} + \mathbb{L}^{-3} + \mathbb{L}^{-2} + 1 + \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-2} + 2\mathbb{L}^{-1} + 1}{\mathbb{L}+1},$$
$$\mathbb{M}' = \mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^3 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1 + \frac{\mathbb{L} + 2\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}^3}{\mathbb{L}+1}.$$

*Remark* 12.2. By similar computations, we can easily deduce the motivic counterpart of Serre's mass formula [Ser78] from Conjecture 12.1: in any characteristic and for any $m$,

$$\int_{\mathrm{Fie}_m} \mathbb{L}^{-d}\, d\tau = \mathbb{L}^{1-m}.$$

Here $d : \mathrm{Fie}_m \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the function associating the discriminant exponent to a field extension and $\tau$ is the tautological motivic measures on $\mathrm{Fie}_n$. This too justifies the conjecture. With some more computation, it would be possible to get also the motivic version of Bhargava's formula [Bha07].

## 13. Concluding remarks

We will end the paper by making some remarks and raising several problems for the future.

13.1. **Singularities of $\mathsf{v}$, $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ and $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$.** In the definition of log varieties, we assumed that the ambient variety is always normal. It forced us to take the normalization $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ of the untwisting variety $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$. The normality assumption enables us to work in a standard setting of the minimal model program and to use familiar computations of divisors. However this restriction seems not to be really necessary. For instance, we can define the stringy motif if we specify an invertible subsheaf of

$$\left(\bigwedge^d \Omega_{X/D}\right)^{\otimes r} \otimes K(X)$$

rather than a boundary divisor $\Delta$. We then would be able to replace most of arguments in this paper with ones using subsheaves rather than divisors.

What kind of singularities can $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ and $\mathsf{v}^{|F|,\nu}$ have? In the examples in Sections 9 and 10, rather mild singularities appeared. Indeed, in both examples, for every $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$, the untwisting variety $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ had only normal hypersurface singularities having a crepant resolution. In general, if $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is a hypersurface, then so is $\mathsf{v}^{|F|} \subset V^{|F|}$, although the author does not know if it is always normal. What about complete intersections? If the answer is positive, then we would be able to use Proposition

8.1 to compute the boundary of $\mathfrak{v}^{|F|}$. Moreover, we might be able to generalize, for instance, the semi-continuity of the minimal log discrepancies to quotients of local complete intersections by combining arguments used for local complete intersections [EMY03, EM04] and quotient singularities [Nak].

In the tame case, if $\mathcal{O}_D = k[[\pi]]$, then, as we saw in Section 9, the map $u^* : \mathcal{O}_V \to \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}}$ is simply given by $x_i \mapsto \pi^{a_i}\mathrm{x}_i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for a suitable choice of coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{O}_V$ and $\mathrm{x}_1, \dots, \mathrm{x}_d \in \mathcal{O}_{V^{\langle F\rangle}}$. Therefore, if $\mathsf{v} \subset V$ is defined by $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathcal{O}_V$, then the scheme-theoretic preimage $u^{-1}(\mathsf{v}) \subset V^{\langle F\rangle}$ is defined by $u^*f_1, \dots, u^*f_l$, which have the same number of terms with $f_1, \dots, f_l$ respectively. In particular, if $\mathsf{v}$ is an affine toric variety, then it is embedded into $V$ as a closed subvariety defined by binomials $f_1, \dots, f_l$, and then $u^{-1}(\mathsf{v})$ is also defined by binomials. Thanks to this fact, we might be able to study $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ from the combinatorial viewpoint.

In the example in Section 10, $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ had $A_1$-singularities and $D^0_{2n}$-singularities, from Artin's classification of rational double points in positive characteristic [Art77]. In general, when $\mathsf{v}$ and hence $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ are surfaces (relative dimension one over $D$), then what kind of singularities can $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ have? Does every rational double point appear on some $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$? If we can compute singularities of $\mathsf{v}^{|F|}$ systematically, we would be able to compute the right side of the equality in Conjecture 7.3 explicitly and to derive many mass formulas, explained below.

13.2. **Mass formulas for extensions of a local field and local Galois representations.** For a constructible function $\Phi : G\text{-Cov}(D) \to \mathcal{R}$, the integral

$$\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \Phi \, d\tau$$

can be regarded as the motivic count of $G$-covers of $D$ with $E \in G\text{-Cov}(D)$ weighted by $\Phi(E)$. If $\mathcal{O}_D$ has a finite residue field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ rather than algebraically closed one, then the motif $\int_{G\text{-Cov}(D)} \Phi \, d\tau$ should give an actual weighted count of $G$-covers of $D$ as its *point-counting realization.* This observation was made in [Yasa, WYa] in the context of the wild McKay correspondence for linear actions. Such counts are number-theoretic problems by nature. Indeed, as clarified in [WYa], counts appearing in the McKay correspondence are closely related to counts of extensions of a local filed and to counts of local Galois representations, for instance, studied in [Kra66, Ser78, Bha07, Ked07, Woo08]: formulas for such counts are called *mass formulas.* The weights previously considered have the form $\mathbb{L}^\alpha$ for some function $\alpha : G\text{-Cov}(D) \to \mathbb{Q}$, corresponding to weights of the form $\frac{1}{\sharp H} q^\alpha$ in actual counts if $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. However, in Conjecture 7.3, we have fancier weights $M_{\mathrm{st},C_G(H)}(\mathfrak{v}^{|F|,\nu})$, which are expected to be often rational functions in $\mathbb{L}$ or $\mathbb{L}^{1/n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (it is actually the case in examples in Sections 9 and 10). The new weights clearly have geometric meaning and might provide some insight to the number theory.

13.3. **Weight functions for general representations.** How to compute functions $\mathbf{w}_V$ and $\mathbf{v}_V$ for general linear actions $G \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{A}^d_D$? By now, we have satisfactory answers in the following cases:

- the tame case [Yasb, WYa],

- the case where $\mathcal{O}_D = k[[\pi]]$ with $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ [Yasa],
- permutation representations [WYa],
- a hyperplane in a permutation representation defined by an invariant linear form (Corollary 11.4).

For the general case, we can always embed a given representation into a permutation representation and apply Corollary 8.1. The problem is to compute the divisor $A_F$, which appears to be almost equivalent to computing functions $\mathbf{w}_V$ and $\mathbf{v}_V$.

13.4. **The convergence or divergence of motivic integrals.** Motivic integrals discussed in this paper do not generally converge and stringy motifs and motivic masses can be infinite. In such a case, the wild McKay correspondence conjecture does not mean much (but not none). In characteristic zero, the convergence of a stringy motif is equivalent to that the given singularities are (Kawamata) log terminal. The author then called *stringily log terminal* singularities whose stringy motif converges, which is equivalent to the usual notion of log terminal if singularities admit a log resolution. Since quotient singularities in characteristic zero are always log terminal, this divergence problem did not occur in the study of the McKay correspondence in characteristic zero. However wild quotient singularities are sometimes stringily log terminal and sometimes not. It is an interesting problem to know when they are and when they are not. If $\mathcal{O}_D = k[[\pi]]$ has characteristic $p > 0$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then the convergence is determined by the value of a simple representation-theoretic invariant denoted by $D_V$ in [Yasa]. Is it possible to generalize this invariant to other groups?

Another problem is to attach finite values to divergent motivic integrals by "renormalizing" them somehow, for instance, as tried by Veys [Vey04, Vey03] for stringy invariants in characteristic zero.

## References


[Art77] M. Artin, *Coverings of the rational double points in characteristic p*, Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977, pp. 11–22. MR MR0450263 (56 #8559)

[Bat98] Victor V. Batyrev, *Stringy Hodge numbers of varieties with Gorenstein canonical singularities*, Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1998, pp. 1–32. MR MR1672108 (2001a:14039)

[Bat99] ———, *Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **1** (1999), no. 1, 5–33. MR MR1677693 (2001j:14018)

[BD96] Victor V. Batyrev and Dimitrios I. Dais, *Strong McKay correspondence, string-theoretic Hodge numbers and mirror symmetry*, Topology **35** (1996), no. 4, 901–929. MR 1404917 (97e:14023)

[Bha07] Manjul Bhargava, *Mass formulae for extensions of local fields, and conjectures on the density of number field discriminants*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 17, Art. ID rnm052, 20. MR 2354798 (2009e:11220)

[DL99] Jan Denef and François Loeser, *Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 1, 201–232. MR MR1664700 (99k:14002)

[DL02] ———, *Motivic integration, quotient singularities and the McKay correspondence*, Compositio Math. **131** (2002), no. 3, 267–290. MR MR1905024 (2004e:14010)

[Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra: with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR MR1322960 (97a:13001)

[EM04] Lawrence Ein and Mircea Mustaţă, *Inversion of adjunction for local complete intersection varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 6, 1355–1365. MR 2102399 (2005j:14020)

[EMY03] Lawrence Ein, Mircea Mustaţă, and Takehiko Yasuda, *Jet schemes, log discrepancies and inversion of adjunction*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), no. 3, 519–535. MR MR2000468 (2004f:14028)

[GKP89] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1989, A foundation for computer science. MR 1001562 (91f:00001)

[Ked07] Kiran S. Kedlaya, *Mass formulas for local Galois representations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 17, Art. ID rnm021, 26, With an appendix by Daniel Gulotta. MR 2354797 (2008m:11231)

[Kol13] János Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013, With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács. MR 3057950

[Kon95] Maxim Kontsevich, *Lecture at Orsay*.

[Kra66] Marc Krasner, *Nombre des extensions d'un degré donné d'un corps $\mathfrak{P}$-adique*, Les Tendances Géom. en Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres, Editions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1966, pp. 143–169. MR 0225756 (37 #1349)

[LP04] Ernesto Lupercio and Mainak Poddar, *The global McKay-Ruan correspondence via motivic integration*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), no. 4, 509–515. MR 2069013 (2005c:14026)

[Nak] Yusuke Nakamura, *On semi-continuity problems for minimal log discrepancies*, arXiv:1305.1410.

[Nic11] Johannes Nicaise, *A trace formula for varieties over a discretely valued field*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **650** (2011), 193–238. MR 2770561 (2012d:14039)

[NS11] Johannes Nicaise and Julien Sebag, *The Grothendieck ring of varieties*, Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-Archimedean geometry. Volume I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 383, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011, pp. 145–188. MR 2885336

[Seb04] Julien Sebag, *Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), no. 1, 1–54. MR 2075915 (2005e:14017)

[Ser78] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Une "formule de masse" pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degré donné d'un corps local*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 22, A1031–A1036. MR 500361 (80a:12018)

[Ser79] ———, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. MR 554237 (82e:12016)

[Vey03] Willem Veys, *Stringy zeta functions for $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein varieties*, Duke Math. J. **120** (2003), no. 3, 469–514. MR 2030094 (2004m:14075)

[Vey04] ———, *Stringy invariants of normal surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 1, 115–141. MR 2008717 (2004h:14024)

[Woo08] Melanie Matchett Wood, *Mass formulas for local Galois representations to wreath products and cross products*, Algebra Number Theory **2** (2008), no. 4, 391–405. MR 2411405 (2009e:11219)

[WYa] Melanie Matchett Wood and Takehiko Yasuda, *Mass formulas for local Galois representations and quotient singularities I: A comparison of counting functions*, arXiv:1309:2879.

[WYb] ———, *Mass formulas for local Galois representations and quotient singularities II: Dualities*, in preparation.

[Yasa] Takehiko Yasuda, *The p-cyclic McKay correspondence via motivic integration*, arXiv:1208.0132, to appear in *Compositio Mathematica*.

[Yasb] ———, *Toward motivic integration over wild Deligne-Mumford stacks*, arXiv:1302.2982, to appear in the proceedings of "Higher Dimensional Algebraic Geometry - in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata's sixtieth birthday".

[Yas04] Takehiko Yasuda, *Twisted jets, motivic measures and orbifold cohomology*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 2, 396–422. MR MR2027195 (2004m:14037)

[Yas06] ———, *Motivic integration over Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Adv. Math. **207** (2006), no. 2, 707–761. MR MR2271984 (2007j:14002)

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan, tel:+81-6-6850-5326, fax:+81-6-6850-5327

*E-mail address*: takehikoyasuda@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp